Executive Summary: Health Impact Review of HB 2451
Restricting the Practice of Sexual Orientation Change Efforts

Evidence indicates that HB 2451 has potential to mitigate harms and improve health outcomes among lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and questioning (LGBTQ) patients, a population that is disproportionately impacted by poor health outcomes, thereby decreasing health disparities.

BILL INFORMATION


Companion Bill: SB 6449

Summary of Bill:
• Expands the list of acts that constitute unprofessional conduct by a licensed health care provider to include performing sexual orientation change efforts on a patient under age 18.
• Defines “sexual orientation change efforts” as any regimen that seeks to change an individual’s sexual orientation—including efforts to change behaviors or gender expressions, or to eliminate or reduce sexual or romantic attractions or feelings toward individuals of the same sex. The definition does not include psychotherapies that promote acceptance, support, and understanding or facilitate coping, social support, and identity exploration, or provide interventions to address unlawful conduct or unsafe sexual practices, as long as they do not seek to change sexual orientation.

HEALTH IMPACT REVIEW

Summary of Findings:
This health impact review found the following evidence regarding the provisions in HB 2451:
• Some evidence that restricting sexual orientation change efforts would decrease the risk of harm and improve health outcomes for LGBTQ patients.
• Very strong evidence that LGBTQ adults and youth disproportionately experience many negative health outcomes, and therefore mitigating any emotional, mental, and physical harm among this population has potential to decrease health disparities.
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Introduction and Methods

A health impact review is an analysis of how a proposed legislative or budgetary change will likely impact health and health disparities in Washington state (RCW 43.20.285). For the purpose of this review ‘health disparities’ have been defined as the differences in disease, death, and other adverse health conditions that exist between populations (RCW 43.20.270). This document provides summaries of the evidence analyzed by State Board of Health staff during the health impact review of House Bill 2451 (HB 2451).

Staff analyzed the content of HB 2451 and created a logic model depicting possible pathways leading from the provisions of the bill to health outcomes. Staff conducted objective reviews of the literature for each component of the pathway. Staff used databases including ERIC, PubMed, and Google Scholar to search the literature.

The following pages provide:
- A detailed analysis of the bill including a summary of the bill and a presentation of potential pathways leading from the bill to decreased health disparities.
- Annotated references with summaries of the findings for each research question.

The logic model depicting potential pathways between the bill and health impacts is presented both in text and through a flowchart (Figure 1). The logic model includes information on the strength of the evidence for each relationship. The strength-of-evidence has been defined using the following criteria:

- **Minimal evidence:** the literature review yielded only one study supporting the association, or the literature review yielded several studies supporting the association but also some studies which found no association or a negative relationship.
- **Some evidence:** the literature review yielded several studies supporting the association, but a large body of evidence was not established.
- **Strong evidence:** the literature review yielded a large body of evidence on the relationship (a majority of which supported the association) but the body of evidence contained some contradictory findings, did not incorporate the most robust study designs or data analysis, had significant but not meaningful results, or some combination of these. Any relationship where the language of the bill explicitly indicated that the work must be evidence-based was considered a strong connection.
- **Very strong evidence:** the literature review yielded a very large body of robust evidence supporting the association with few if any contradictory findings. The evidence indicates that the scientific community largely accepts the existence of the association.

This review was subject to time constraints, which allowed for only a preliminary search of the evidence, so some research articles may have been missed. The annotated references are only a representation of the evidence and simply provide examples of current research. In many cases only a few review articles or meta-analyses are included in the references. One article may cite or provide analysis of dozens of other articles. Therefore the number of references included in the bibliography does not necessarily reflect the strength-of-evidence.
Analysis of HB 2451 and the Scientific Evidence

Summary of HB 2451
The proposal expands the list of acts that constitute unprofessional conduct by a licensed health care provider to include performing sexual orientation change efforts on a patient under age 18.

“Sexual orientation change efforts” are defined as any regimen that seeks to change an individual’s sexual orientation—including efforts to change behaviors or gender expressions, or to eliminate or reduce sexual or romantic attractions or feelings toward individuals of the same sex. The definition does not include psychotherapies that promote acceptance, support, and understanding or facilitate coping, social support, and identity exploration, or provide interventions to address unlawful conduct or unsafe sexual practices, as long as they do not seek to change sexual orientation.

Health impact of HB 2451
Evidence indicates that HB 2451 has potential to mitigate harms and improve health outcomes among lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and questioning (LGBTQ) patients, a population that is disproportionately impacted by poor health outcomes, thereby decreasing health disparities.

Pathways to health impacts
The potential pathways leading from HB 2451 to decreased health disparities are depicted in Figure 1. There is some evidence that sexual orientation change efforts (SOCE) are associated with negative health outcomes such as depression, self-stigma, cognitive and emotional dissonance, emotional distress, and negative self-image. Although a small number of studies have indicated that SOCE is associated with positive health outcomes (e.g. developing a sense of community), the evidence indicates that these positive outcomes are consistent with benefits offered by general mutual support groups. Therefore providing support groups within an affirmative and multiculturally competent framework could provide the same benefits without the potential negative health impacts of SOCE. Moreover, there is little to no evidence that SOCE is associated with reduced same-sex attraction or increased other-sex attractions.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^5\)
In addition, there is very strong evidence indicating that LGBTQ adults and youth disproportionately experience many negative health outcomes. For example, data indicate that LGBTQ youth are more likely to consider or attempt suicide; skip school because they feel unsafe; have property damaged or stolen while at school; use alcohol, tobacco, and other illegal substances; and have a high Body Mass Index (BMI).\(^6\)\(^-\)\(^10\) Mitigating any emotional, mental, and physical harm and improving health outcomes among this population therefore has potential to decrease health disparities.
Logic Model
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Eliminating Sexual Orientation Change Efforts
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*LGBTQ: lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, queer, and questioning
Annotated References and Summaries of Findings

**Summary of findings**
There is some evidence that sexual orientation change efforts (SOCE) are associated with negative health outcomes such as depression, self-stigma, cognitive and emotional dissonance, emotional distress, and negative self-image. The published articles on SOCE have not stood up well against the scrutiny of the scientific community. Several researchers have conducted reviews of the literature on SOCE and have overwhelmingly concluded that the majority of these studies have serious methodological problems. When considering the body of literature as a whole (including strength of the studies and measured outcomes), most reviewers have concluded that there is little to no evidence that SOCE is associated with reduced same-sex attraction or increased other-sex sexual attractions, little evidence that there are positive health impacts associated with SOCE, and some evidence that there are negative emotional, mental, and physical health outcomes associated with these interventions. In addition, researchers have indicated that the positive outcomes that some studies have found to be associated with SOCE are consistent with benefits observed from general mutual support groups (e.g. developing a sense of community). Therefore providing support groups within an affirmative and multiculturally competent framework could provide the same benefits without the potential negative health impacts of SOCE.

**Annotated references**
   The American Psychological Association established a Task Force on Appropriate Therapeutic Responses to Sexual Orientation. The task force released a report in 2009 which presented findings from a review of the peer-reviewed literature on the psychotherapy and psychology of sexual orientation written between 1960 and 2007. The report indicates that a majority of the 83 studies evaluated had serious methodological problems. The task force concluded that there is little if any evidence that SOCE lead to reduced same-sex attraction or increased other-sex sexual attractions. In addition, the task force concluded that there is some evidence that individuals have experienced harm from SOCE. Some studies have found SOCE to be associated with negative health outcomes such as emotional distress and negative self-image, while other studies have found SOCE to be associated with positive outcomes such as developing a sense of community. The report indicates, though, that the positive outcomes identified are consistent with benefits observed from general mutual support groups. Therefore providing support groups within an affirmative and multiculturally competent framework could provide the same benefits while mitigating the harmful aspects of SOCE. The task force also reviewed the literature on the impact of SOCE on children and adolescents. They found that little research has been done in this area, and that the few studies that have been conducted found no evidence that psychotherapy for children impacts adult sexual orientation. They also raised concerns that these interventions could lead to self-stigma and stress among children and adolescents. The research indicates that SOCE for adolescents often do not present medically accurate information, are fear-based, and have potential to increase social stigma.

Fjelstrom conducted personal interviews using a structured interview guide (n=15). All participants had taken part in sexual orientation change efforts. Common themes identified through analysis of the interview transcripts were participants, “hiding their true feelings, trying to ‘pass’ as heterosexual, working hard to convince themselves that change was occurring, dissembling about their feelings to others, and separating themselves from portions of their identities.” The researcher found that, among this group of participants, these therapy techniques were often offered by unlicensed counselors rather than licensed therapists. Many participants reported experiencing cognitive/emotional dissonance and self-reproach and feeling inferior, emotionally injured, hopeless, and shamed during and after going through sexual orientation change efforts. The limitations listed in the article include potential interviewer bias, the dependence on retrospective accounts, and the use of a convenience sample.


Hein et al. conducted an in-depth literature review of evidence relating to sexual orientation change efforts. They did not identify any youth-based studies that had considered the effects of orientation change efforts. The researchers indicate that there is no conclusive empirical evidence that these approaches provide benefits to patients, and that a number of harmful consequences of these therapies on adults have been documented by former patients as well as the literature. These negative impacts (as reported by the literature, statements by former patients and mental health providers, and case reports) include: anxiety, depression, avoidance of intimacy, sexual dysfunction, post-traumatic stress disorder, lack of self-confidence and self-efficacy, shame, guilt, self-destructive behavior, and suicidality.


Karten et al. distributed surveys to 117 adult men who had recently participated in sexual orientation change efforts. The post-intervention survey asked participants to assess their changes in sexual and psychological functioning after the change effort. They found a statistically significant decrease in homosexual feelings and behavior and increase in heterosexual feelings and behavior. The researchers found that on average men reported positive changes in their psychological well-being, such as increased self-esteem, social functioning and decreased depression, self-harm behavior, and thoughts of and attempts at suicide. The authors acknowledged the following limitations of this study: the study was based on self-reported data that was collected at one point in time but that asked participants to assess feelings and behaviors at the current time and then at a point in time before they initiated the change therapy; participants may have exaggerated the changes due to social desirability or cognitive dissonance; the measures do not have extensive validation research and assessed changes in sexual behavior and feelings rather than changes in sexual orientation per se; there was no control group; and the findings were correlational in nature and causal relationships cannot be attributed to the variables.

Serovich et al. conducted a systematic review of the literature on sexual orientation change therapy. They found 28 peer-reviewed articles that fit their inclusion criteria. The aim of this study was to analyze the strength of the studies conducted on this topic, not the findings of these studies. The researchers conclude that although many of these studies used ample sample sizes, most of the studies did not report essential information such as drop-out rate and participant demographics. Most of the studies also did not include control groups or long-term longitudinal follow-up. They conclude that the body of evidence on the efficacy of these therapeutic practices is lacking scientific rigor and that this calls into question the validity of interventions based on a “flawed empirical database.”

Summary of findings
There is very strong evidence indicating that LGBTQ adults and youth disproportionately experience many negative health outcomes. For example data indicate that LGBTQ youth are more likely to consider or attempt suicide; skip school because they feel unsafe; have property damaged or stolen while at school; use alcohol, tobacco, and other illegal substances; and have a high Body Mass Index (BMI). Data from the Washington State Healthy Youth Survey also indicate that students who are harassed at school due to their perceived sexual orientation (irrespective of how they actually identify) are also more likely to suffer from negative health outcomes such as substance use; homelessness; lower grades; and suicide contemplation or attempts. This measure does not directly indicate health disparities experienced by LGBTQ youth in Washington because these students did not self-identify but rather indicated that they had been harassed for being perceived as lesbian, gay, or bisexual. This measure does provide some important information though, such as insights into the social stigma, harassment, and discrimination that exist in Washington’s schools in relation to sexual orientation, and the negative health outcomes that are associated with this stigma. Because LGBTQ youth and adults disproportionately experience many negative health outcomes, mitigating any emotional, mental, and physical harm among this population has potential to decrease these health disparities. A few recent articles are presented here as examples of the large body of literature on health disparities by sexual orientation.

Annotated references

Balsam et al. analyzed Washington State Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System data from 2003 to 2007. Survey participants were asked to indicate whether they consider themselves to be ‘heterosexual,’ ‘homosexual,’ or ‘bisexual, or something else.’ Respondents who recorded ‘other’ or ‘don’t know/not sure’ were excluded from analysis. The researchers found that respondents who self-identified as lesbian, gay, or bisexual were more likely to smoke cigarettes. The researchers conducted modeling in order to identify protective factors and risk factors for smoking. They found that psychological distress and life dissatisfaction were risk factors for lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations. They point to other research which has found higher levels of anxiety and depression among LGBTQ individuals. Balsam et al. found that alcohol use is a risk factor for smoking, and they also pointed to previously published evidence that alcohol
use rates are higher among LGBTQ populations. They also found tobacco marketing targeted at LGBTQ communities as well as single relationship status were risk enhancers for smoking. Note that the researchers also identified protective factors among lesbian, gay, and bisexual participants such as higher education levels. In addition, there are trends that are unique to subpopulations (such as different risk or protective factors for bisexual women than for lesbian women), so the researchers are careful not to generalize findings that are unique to specific subpopulations.


Duncan and Hatzenbuehler analyzed 2008 Boston Youth Survey data for 9th through 12th graders. They aggregated data from all students who self-identified as ‘mostly heterosexual,’ ‘bisexual,’ ‘mostly homosexual,’ ‘gay or lesbian,’ or ‘unsure.’ The researchers found that LGBTQ adolescents were more likely to contemplate and attempt suicide than their heterosexual peers. Nearly one third of LGBTQ adolescents reported suicidal ideation in the past year compared to 9.43% of heterosexual youth. They also found that LGBTQ youth who contemplated or attempted suicide were more likely to live in neighborhoods with higher LGBTQ assault hate crimes.


Data from the 2012 Washington State Healthy Youth Survey indicate that almost 12% of 8th grade students, nearly 11% of 10th grade students, and about 7% of 12th students have been harassed at school due to perceived sexual orientation. These students (regardless of how they actually identify) are significantly more likely than their peers who have not been subjected to such harassment to experience more risk factors and negative health outcomes. For example, youth who are harassed for being perceived as gay, lesbian, or bisexual are more likely to be currently using alcohol, cigarettes, marijuana, or other illegal drugs; are more likely to have been involved in a physical fight at school; are less likely to be living with their parents (e.g. are homeless, living in a shelter, living with friends); have lower grades; and are more likely to suffer from depression and to contemplate or attempt suicide. Note that this information only indicates the existence of an association between harassment based on perceived sexual orientation and these negative health outcomes and does not indicate causation. This basic analysis of the data did not control for confounding factors. In addition, the Healthy Youth Survey does not collect information on sexual orientation as self-identified by the student, but only collected data on whether or not a student had been harassed for their perceived sexual orientation. The data indicate, though, that students who reported being bullied in general (although they also saw worse health outcomes than their peers who did not report being bullied) were not as likely to experience many of these negative outcomes as students that were bullied specifically about their perceived sexual orientation. For example, 7.0% (± 1.6) of 12th grade students who reported that they were not bullied in the past 30 days were living with someone aside from their parents and 11.5% (± 3.8) of 12th graders who reported being bullied in the past 30 days were living with someone other than a parent, while 43.5% (± 9.6) of the 12th graders who reported being harassed due to their perceived sexual identity in the past 30 days were living with someone other than a parent. This measure may provide some insight into the social stigma, harassment, and discrimination that exists in Washington’s public schools in relation to sexual orientation, and the negative health outcomes that are associated with this harassment.

Rosario et al. analyzed Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) data for 14 of the 15 jurisdictions in the United States that conduct these surveys and collect data on sexual orientation (n=65,871). They defined sexual orientation using survey questions relating to sexual attractions, gender of sexual partners, or sexual identity. In addition, they classified any student who indicated that they were uncertain of their sexual identity as having same-sex orientation. The researchers found that youth who indicated same-sex orientation reported more cancer-related risk behaviors than did heterosexual students. For example, youth who indicated same-sex orientation were more likely to have a high BMI and to use substances such as alcohol, cigarettes, and other tobacco products.


Russell et al. analyzed YRBS data from 13 jurisdictions that collect YRBS surveys and measured either sexual orientation identification or gender of sexual partners (n=48,879). The data revealed that students who reported same-sex orientation in their identity or behavior were significantly more likely to report fighting, skipping school because they felt unsafe, and having property damaged or stolen while at school. LGBTQ youth also reported higher scores on indicators of victimization. The researchers also point out nuanced differences in outcomes between subpopulations of LGBTQ youth, indicating that it is important to consider the unique needs and experiences of each subpopulation.