

DOH WSBOH

From: Greg Goldberg <greg.goldberg.1984@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 21, 2020 5:15 AM
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: Fwd: Amazon's response regarding possible workplace hazards
Attachments: petition.pdf

This message has originated from an External Source. Please use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email. Contact your desktop support or IT security staff for assistance and to report suspicious messages.

Dear Washington State Board of Health,

Please consider the following email chain for of emails and facts for legislation to protect Washington Employees from zoonotic diseases such as bring your dog into work:

- There was no policies against bringing the sick dogs into work (so my teammates would), this has been amended in 2017 as it must have happened to someone other than me, however it should most likely be added to legislation to prevent this from happening at other companies.
- They never clean our workspaces and lock us with dogs in those workspaces
- They provide us with food and encourage us to eat in those workspaces
- Do not provide employees with the risks of working in environments with animals (Or that they may still be asymptomatic when unwell, such as Strep Zoo/Kennel Cough, like what happened to me)
- Don't put signage up to remind people to wash their hands after handling animals
- Have communal areas with dogs coming and going
- Individuals also owning farms with farm animals where they bring these dogs (I believe this is all increases the risks and makes something unlikely inevitable. Healthy 30 year old males shouldn't get sick with this pathogen and the dogs are the most likely source if not the only source of my infection)

I have gotten extremely sick from a Group C Strep infection which is suspected to be Streptococcus Zoo due to clinical manifestation such as reticulonodular opacities and the microbiological characteristics.

Amazon's dog policy when I was working in Seattle for them:

<https://www.seattledogspot.com/amazon-dog-friendly-seattles-dog-friendliest-company/>

Evidence that they have enormous amounts of dogs walking through their offices:

<https://fortune.com/2019/06/21/amazon-dogs-at-work-perks/>

<https://www.nytimes.com/2015/08/16/technology/inside-amazon-wrestling-big-ideas-in-a-bruising-workplace.html>

There are a significant amount of documents which describe this and even formal peer reviewed articles discussing the risks and benefits of bringing your pet to work which can be found with a simple search.

Most of this legislation could be applied to mainly:

- Provide Washington Employees with a pathogen free environment by maintaining cleaning standards for these shared environments (Perhaps only if a specific number of dogs are within the premises etc? I am not an expert in zoonotic disease transfer from animal to human)
- Develop a standard baseline to prevent outbreaks of zoonotic diseases.
- Help identify these occupational diseases and treat them before they become a significant issue
- Enable Medical Providers to understand that the employees are at higher risk for these diseases and to screen for them more thoroughly when exposed to these communal environments to prevent them from becoming permanent, debilitating or even evending fatally.

Please let me know if this is not suitable for your agenda.
Kind regards
Gregory Goldberg

+61 490 467 769 (When dialling from a cell phone)
(011)-(61)-0490 467 769 (When dialling from a landline)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Levy, Susan (Susie)** <slevy@kingcounty.gov>
Date: Sat, Nov 21, 2020 at 2:47 PM
Subject: RE: Amazon's response regarding possible workplace hazards
To: Greg Goldberg <greg.goldberg.1984@gmail.com>

It is worth reaching out to the State Board of Health here: <https://sboh.wa.gov/>

They may have more authority on this than the local health boards.

Best of luck!

Susie

From: Greg Goldberg <greg.goldberg.1984@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 20, 2020 7:45 PM
To: Levy, Susan (Susie) <slevy@kingcounty.gov>
Subject: Re: Amazon's response regarding possible workplace hazards

[EXTERNAL Email Notice!] External communication is important to us. Be cautious of phishing attempts. Do not click or open suspicious links or attachments.

That's okay, I just figured that microsoft, Amazon, Facebook and all these big IT companies are setting up in Washington state, they all have bring your dog into work policies, so there is tens of thousands of dogs walking through communal areas and there is no legislation specifically aimed at this scenario like there is for kennels, petting zoos or other similar settings providing a specifically unhealthy environment for employees.

As the state board of health is responsible for enacting legislation to protect their employees, I assumed you would want to prevent this from occurring to any future employees.

On Sat, Nov 21, 2020, 2:29 PM Levy, Susan (Susie) <slevy@kingcounty.gov> wrote:

Dear Greg,

Thank you for the follow up information. This is really outside of the scope of the Board of Health's jurisdiction, but I appreciate you sharing the information and your story. Sorry there is not more of a role for the Board to play.

I hope you have a safe holiday.

Thanks so much,

Susie

Susie Levy, MPH (*she/her*)

Government Affairs Analyst

Public Health – Seattle & King County

Phone: 206-263-8328

slevy@kingcounty.gov

From: Greg Goldberg <greg.goldberg.1984@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, November 20, 2020 4:58 PM

To: Levy, Susan (Susie) <slevy@kingcounty.gov>

Subject: Re: Amazon's response regarding possible workplace hazards

[EXTERNAL Email Notice!] External communication is important to us. Be cautious of phishing attempts. Do not click or open suspicious links or attachments.

Dear Susie Levy,

I just wanted to provide you with Amazon's dog policy when I was working there:

<https://www.seattledogspot.com/amazon-dog-friendly-seattles-dog-friendliest-company/>

And evidence that they have enormous amounts of dogs walking through their offices:

<https://fortune.com/2019/06/21/amazon-dogs-at-work-perks/>

There was no policies against bringing the sick dogs into work (so team mates would), they never clean our workspaces, lock us with dogs in the workspace, provide us with food and encourage us to eat in those workspaces and still do not provide employees with the risks of working in this environment, don't put signage up to even tell people to wash their hands after handling animals.

This is a communal area with dogs coming and going and individuals also owning farms with farm animals where they bring these dogs (I believe this is all increases the risks and makes something unlikely inevitable. Healthy 30 year old males shouldn't get sick with this pathogen and the dogs are the most likely source if not the only source.)

Kind regards

Greg

On Fri, Nov 20, 2020, 4:25 PM Greg Goldberg <greg.goldberg.1984@gmail.com> wrote:

Thank you so much for responding as well,
I really appreciate that you are considering this issue for me.
I hope you have a great evening
Greg

On Fri, Nov 20, 2020 at 4:22 PM Levy, Susan (Susie) <slevy@kingcounty.gov> wrote:

Dear Greg Goldberg,

Thank you for reaching out. I will share the information with the Board of Health Chair.

Thanks so much,

Susie

Susie Levy, MPH (*she/her*)

Government Affairs Analyst

Public Health – Seattle & King County

Phone: 206-263-8328

slevy@kingcounty.gov

From: Greg Goldberg <greg.goldberg.1984@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2020 9:32 AM

To: Levy, Susan (Susie) <slevy@kingcounty.gov>

Subject: Fwd: Amazon's response regarding possible workplace hazards

[EXTERNAL Email Notice!] External communication is important to us. Be cautious of phishing attempts. Do not click or open suspicious links or attachments.

Dear Susie Levy,

Please review the communication below from OSHA at Labor and Industries and consider it for your agenda. They did not start investigating my Occupational Disease claim until several months after I reported it and I had appealed their decision to the BIIA and retained an attorney. I believe they have no intentions of paying as they refused to accept the claim during mediation without any reason after investigating the issue for months and their OSHA investigator has not investigated this health issue and is not responding to my communications (even though I have tried via SMS, phone call and email).

Kind regards

Greg Goldberg

RCW [16.36.070](#) - Danger of infection—Emergencies.

RCW [16.36.082](#) Infected or exposed animals—Unlawful to transfer or expose other animals.

WAC 246-100-191 [Section 246-100-191](#) Animals—**General measures to prevent human disease.**

RCW [51.28.010](#) Notice of accident—Notification of worker's rights—Claim suppression.

RCW [51.48.010](#) Employer's liability for penalties, injury or disease occurring before payment of compensation secured.

RCW [51.48.025](#) Retaliation by employer prohibited—Investigation—Remedies. (Complained about a hazardous workplace and that it is a risk to my open wound while getting sick, they began complaining I was getting sick)

[51.48.030](#) Failure to keep records and make reports.

[51.48.060](#) Physician or licensed advanced registered nurse practitioner—Failure to report or comply.

There is more legislation I have seen but need to document, and others I probably need to discover. For example:

- **Train employees of the hazards of the workplace (Such as working alongside animals)**
- **Keep workspace clear of pathogens**
- **Maintain Signage as there is over 7000 dogs walking through their offices when it is Business As Usual.**

On Tue, Oct 13, 2020 at 12:00 PM Greg Goldberg <greg.goldberg.1984@gmail.com> wrote:

Amazon policy does permit employees to bring dogs to work, but only once they have been registered in the Amazon Dogs at Work program (details below). The registration process includes a discussion with an employee's manager and teammates in their immediate work area to ensure everyone supports having a dog present first. **This issue occurred in 2014-2016, they should be noticing their policies at that time** As an ongoing requirement of bringing your dog to work, you must keep your dog's vaccinations up to date, maintain adequate vaccination records, and monitor your dog at all times **You cannot get a vaccination for Dog Streptococcus.** In addition, all dogs must have updated licensing as required by applicable law. Of course, we also provide dog-free spaces for those employees who may prefer not to work around dogs **I specifically requested to work from an office without dogs WITH my team, I was then penalized for working away from my team.** We note that our corporate offices, including this Facility, are currently seeing low employee volumes as our employees are welcome to work from home until January 8 **We are talking about a historical issue, according to Legislation (I will quote them at the bottom of the email) there should be action taken after this.** This Facility in particular is averaging around 2%

occupancy **This is because of COVID, when things return to Business As Usual it will not be that way**, so few dogs are present currently. Regardless, employees are required to adhere to a very strict and detailed policy that governs the Dogs at Work program and requires:

Documentation validating the dog has up-to-date vaccinations.

Affirmation that the dog:

- Is housebroken
- Will not be disruptive (**Jumping on my lap while I am trying to work is not disruptive?**)
- Will be well-behaved (**A dog was sitting at my desk whenever I was not, how is this well behaved? It also jumped on my lap/leaned all over my body whilst trying to work as it was quiet large**)
- Is socialized
- Is clean, healthy, and both flea and infection free. (**Chris Powers would take his dog to the family farm and then into work. Regardless of if it was clean it increases the risk of infection. He was explicitly late to meetings as he was taking his dog to the vet prior to the morning meetings. Amazon only implemented their "Take a sick day if your dog is sick" policy after I was terminated from contracting this zoonotic pathogen.**)
- Does not pose a threat to health, safety, or company property. **Without regular cleaning of the office spaces which are shared with dogs POST COVID-19, it is inevitable it will happen again with over 7000 dogs walking through their offices (Even if it is a low risk thing, 7000 dogs and 80000 employees statistically guarantees that it will occur again and has most likely occurred in the past as it took a long time to source, diagnose and treat this issue. I believe in general most people may never even know they got sick from animals at the office if they do not tell the doctors that it is basically a kennel.**
- Requirement that the dog will be contained within the working area and attended to at all times. (**Chris Powers directly bought in a sick dog from the vet and locked it in my work area, the dog was locked in the confined cubical based workspace without the owner on several occasions. Specifically when meetings were attended or when he left his desk to have lunch in the cafeteria downstairs for example. This is common practice that I had observed during my tenure**)

Further, dogs are not allowed in the following areas:

- Café areas **I only attended the Cafe once so cannot confirm or deny this**
- Conference rooms or meeting centers **Chris Powers would leave his dog in my teams work area during meetings so I can personally testify that this occurred.**
- Decks
- Escalators

- IT Support
- Kitchenettes **Possible their dog dishes are cleaned and water is added in this space, GCBHS is a Droplet based Airborne pathogen**
- Locker rooms
- Mother's rooms
- Quiet rooms
- Restrooms **Possible their dog dishes are cleaned and water is added in this space, GCBHS is a Droplet based Airborne pathogen**

Our facilities operations team have cleaning protocols in place to ensure workspaces and common areas are disinfected and cleaned regularly (see below) **Why would my team leader keep a vacuum in his workspace and complain about ants being there in the morning if that was true, please note they have started to comment on POST COVID procedures instead of acknowledging the issues that were present during my tenure.** In addition, we utilize MERV13 filters in our buildings, which reduce airborne allergens such as bacteria, particles that carry viruses, pollen, mold spores, fine dust, and pet dander. Our Dogs at Work Policy provides a mechanism for employees to request additional workspace cleaning as needed **I requested a clean workspace as I had an open wound which could be reinfected by dogs specifically from HR, which I now know was caused by a dog pathogen.** We have a dedicated team responsible for administering the program, defined processes and procedures in place to manage it **When was this team implemented?.** In addition, we regularly audit program compliance and manage violations

through a reporting process I am reporting it now and they are denying that this has occurred. I am telling you that Chris Powers confirmed that his dog was sick and that he was late to the office as he had to take it to the vet, are these policies only implemented since I reported this issue directly to Amazon earlier this year? Our Dogs at Work Policy further provides multiple avenues for anonymous complaints. We have not received any recent complaints about dogs and/or the cleanliness at this Facility. **They are being particularly obstinate, they have been implementing a work from home policy and taking COVID precautions since early this year.** As part of our response to COVID-19 **This issue dates from 2014-2016 and compliane after this COVID nonsense may be nonexistant. They cannot take temporary measures and use them as evidence that they are compliant when these policies need to be in place to prevent this occurring to employees in the future.,** we have provided additional guidance to employees regarding bringing dogs to work that explicitly states: "Out of an abundance of caution, please be sure to practice physical distancing with your pooch to maintain everyone's safety and, as with humans, please ensure your dog stays home if not feeling well." In response to this specific complaint, we dispatched our facilities team to do a thorough review of the facility and they found no issues (i.e. pests, etc.). **That is not how bacteria works or viruses, it would be impossible to determine if a compound had no pathogens as they are stating until the deep clean has been done, even then there could still be a possibility of the pathogen being there.** Further, we completed an additional deep cleaning of the building, which was completed on August 18, 2020. We have reviewed complaints for this Facility and do not have any current complaints regarding pests/ants. **They should be looking at my former team leaders history (Greg Eldridge) as he DOES HAVE A VACUUM IN HIS OFFICE FOR ANTS** If we did receive a complaint, the facilities team is immediately dispatched to address the concern and track the complaint to closure.

Lastly, and of course, employees(and their dogs) are allowed to consume food/drink at their workspaces. Employees have an obligation to keep their workspaces clean and we will address any concerns or complaints regarding cleanliness if raised. **A droplet based pathogen from a dog made me sick, this is a possible cause of infection. This is a confined cubical based workspace which dogs and humans share and is not cleaned regularly, they quoted an August 2020 cleaning for COVID not policies on regularly maintaining that the workspace is clear of pathogens or even educating employees of the hazard they have created by letting animals share their workspace in the first place. It is basically a kennel.**

In regards to their cleaning notes, you can see that they are talking COVID precautions and not corporate policies and I believe they are providing an inadequate response to this issue.

Please see the medical PROA attached to this email, my doctors are as follows:

<https://www.holdsworthhouse.com.au/doctors-practitioners/dr-mark-bloch/> University of NSW - Clinical Researcher and internationally recognized for his work in antibiotics and STDs

<https://www.holdsworthhouse.com.au/doctors-practitioners/aprof-deborah-yates/> University of NSW - Professor of Thoracic Medicine

<https://www.holdsworthhouse.com.au/doctors-practitioners/dr-jessie-lee/> Holdsworth House - Immunologist
Doctor Jeffrey Tsai - Rheumatologist Griffith University

I have a huge list of other doctors I had to see to figure this all out and I can guarantee that it was the dog at Amazon at this point. (Further sourcing of the infection has taken place since we filled out the PROA)

On Tue, Oct 13, 2020 at 11:38 AM Greg Goldberg <greg.goldberg.1984@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Karen Michael,

I do have a detailed response I need to provide in regards to their letter. I am going to put it together today hopefully for you and send it through ASAP.

Kind regards

Greg

On Tue, Oct 13, 2020 at 7:51 AM Michael, Karen (LNI) <MICV235@lni.wa.gov> wrote:

>

> Mr. Goldberg,

>

>

>

> Amazon responded to your complaint concerning possible workplace hazards at Amazon. I have attached a copy of the employer's response.

>

>

>

> Please do not hesitate to reach out with any questions you may have,

>

> Karen Michael

>

>

>

> Karen Michael, MPH, PhD

>

> Industrial Hygiene Compliance Officer

>

> Region 2 – Seattle

>

> Department of Labor and Industries (L&I)

>

> Division of Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH)

>

> Cell: (206)-465-3385 (preferred)

>

> Desk: (206)-515-2845

>

> she/her

>

>

>

>



Virus-free. www.avast.com

DOH WSBOH

From: Chris Anderson <ande59144@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 21, 2020 9:28 AM
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: Seeking an ear

This message has originated from an External Source. Please use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email. Contact your desktop support or IT security staff for assistance and to report suspicious messages.

Greetings,

I hope this email finds you well. I am writing to you because, while I have been delegated little authority over my fellow human beings, I see first hand and face the consequences of the decisions made by those to whom the authority has been delegated. And so, with my present plight, I hope to make a plea to those who are able to enact change.

In addition to my primary occupation as an engineer, I work at a local gym as a fitness instructor. Like any job, I enjoy aspects of it and dislike aspects of it. What I love about it is that it enables me, a deep rooted introvert, the opportunity to meet people and engage in a meaningful way I rarely find. My wife will testify that I struggle immensely with memory. So I have been immensely grateful for Joe, a 70-year old marine veteran who attends my class religiously despite his struggle with age, and who counts the members attending my class every single day for me (a requirement of the gym). Or Patty, another 70-year old friend attending my classes who looks healthier than me, and who enthusiastically brings me bread and apples from local outlets to make sure my new daughter is getting nourished. Or Aaron and Lynn, an older couple who stay late after class to help put away my equipment so I can get home to my family.

The gym has let us know that this latest shut down is likely to be a death blow. I likely will not see Joe or Patty or Aaron or Lynn anymore. I cannot express in written word how saddened I am to see this family-started gym which has made its goal to provide families an affordable, healthy past time be shut down so forcefully.

Mr. Inslee repeatedly appeals to being on the side of science. I think this is a misnomer. And this is my reason for writing you. I am coming to understand just how wide the chasm can be that separates the foundation of two human's beliefs, even given superficial similarities. I believe wholeheartedly that the decisions to shut down the businesses of some families are reflective not so much of differences in scientific mindedness, but of fundamental moral values.

It has been my observation in my own life that we as human beings are afforded but few opportunities to stand on the precipice of our beliefs, to consider them in the context of the giant world of beliefs around us, and to weigh them truly. If you are in support of shutting down businesses, my sincere hope is this: that when you next find yourself on that precipice, you will consider that there is another way, and that it is no less scientifically minded. There is something more precious than life itself that gives life its preciousness. Are you taking the one to preserve the other?

I do appreciate your time in reading this email. I saw a scientist post a study (Mobility network models of COVID-19) on Mr. Inslee's Twitter feed as validation of the decision making. As an attempt to demonstrate that scientific mindedness is not the primary delineating factor between beliefs, I read the study (I tend to read most of the studies I see used as similar validation). At the end of this email I have several significant points of consideration which I think suggest the application of the study by our policy makers exceeds the reasonable conclusions of the study.

Sincerely,
Chris Anderson

Point #1. By my understanding, the study's singular metric for distinguishing between building types (POIs) is number of occupants per square foot per hour. Given that this is the criteria by which one building type is deemed more dangerous than another, why not impose limitations based on this metric agnostic to building type? The current method ignores big offenders in other categories and punishes low offenders in the selected categories.

Point #2. The dataset used to identify high risk POIs ("Places Schema") is dated to end in February of 2020, before reduced occupancy. Gyms have only been allowed very reduced occupancy, but the study considers fully occupied gyms.

Point #3. The metric used to distinguish building types (number of occupants per square foot per hour) gives no consideration to factors like building design (a gym will likely have a higher air changeout rate than an office, some buildings have drastically different ceiling heights and tightness, etc), or measures taken to combat the spread of the virus (gyms, for example, implement rigorous hygiene protocol which was cited by Mr. Inslee as a reason to allow the Seahawks to play). By comparison, grocery store owners enact very limited measures to reduce transmission potential.

Point #4. The study describes an approach of selecting one of 1,500 models from each representative city. Given the enormous variation from city to city, these 1,500 models presumably encompassed a wide landscape of curves to select from. How can you then extrapolate these results to another jurisdiction with any confidence at all? And why not speak to the models' predictions beyond May?

Point #5. There is a great deal of uncertainty in the transmission potential in residential domain. Similar models could have just as well been constructed under the assumption of 100% of transmissions happening at home when friends/family come over, then calibrated to the NYT just the same. I think you could argue that people are far more likely to let their guard down in these scenarios, and engagements are much more prolonged. I am left wondering how much effort was invested in exploring the potential under represented impact of residential interactions.

DOH WSBOH

From: Amy Compestine <acomp4274@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 24, 2020 9:43 PM
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: Unqualified Interim Public Health Officer

This message has originated from an External Source. Please use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email. Contact your desktop support or IT security staff for assistance and to report suspicious messages.

To the Washington Board of Health,

a. Commission French quickly attempted to force approval of Dr. Velazquez as an interim replacement for Dr. Lutz without creating space for other BOH members to offer other options.

b. It appears that Dr. Velazquez does not have any relevant public health experience and has primarily worked in management roles: His focus is on the business side of medicine which aligns with our opinion that the termination of Dr. Lutz is motivated by a profits over people approach to pandemic management. Also, as a pathologist, Dr. Velazquez does not work directly with patients.

c. We are concerned that the board has appointed someone who does not appear to meet the minimum qualifications for this position according to Washington State Legislative Code, which states that a local health officer must hold an active medical license AND a degree in public health. A master's degree in healthcare management is not equivalent to a public health degree.

d. Dr. Velazquez does not meet the qualifications for a provisional health officer because he holds an active medical license, but will require three months of coursework in public health OR an on the job self-training program, which he will be getting during a pandemic. We do not understand why the board is choosing to appoint someone who would fall under the category of provisional officer in the midst of a pandemic. This decision is willfully detrimental to public health.

5. Our final concern is regarding the blame placed on Dr. Lutz for the present number of cases. We believe that the county commissioner and governing body of the SRHD have not handled the COVID response well and put numerous barriers in place to prevent Dr. Lutz from doing his work.

We demand accountability.

Washington state citizen,
Amy Compestine

Christine L. Carlile
4507 S Perry
Spokane, WA 99223

January 8, 2021

TO: Governor Jay Inslee; Umair A Shah; State Board of Health and Legislative District Representatives

I write to each of you to express my utter dismay at the convoluted and enigmatic system of Tiers created to distribute the COVID-19 vaccine. In addition the pace of vaccination is at best sluggish. Have you never heard the phrase, “**Keep it Simple, Stupid.**” The system you created does not promote equity and fairness and it does not quickly vaccinate those most at risk of dying from the virus. See this chart from the CDC website.

Rate ratios compared to 18-29 year olds

	Hospitalization¹	Death²
18-29 years	Comparison Group	Comparison Group
30-39 years	2x higher	4x higher
40-49 years	3x higher	10x higher
50-64 years	4x higher	30x higher
65-74 years	5x higher	90x higher
75-84 years	8x higher	220x higher
85+ years	13x higher	630x higher

Yet, your system is based on someone’s occupation, not age or risk. Risk of exposure is not more important than risk of death.

I strongly recommend you review and revise your system. Your system also has no way to keep people honest and instead encourages them to lie to obtain a vaccine. The State should call up the National Guard and set up vaccination sites. It could ask for volunteers to staff and assist at the sights. The vaccination groups after health care workers and first responders should be aged based, not occupation based.

If you insist on using this ridiculous, complicated, tiered phases let me suggest the following for you chart. Phase B2 add all people over 60 years of age and those high risk critical workers over 50....Phase B3 add all people over 50 years of age and those over 16 with co-morbidities....Phase B4 add all people over 40 years of age and those in phases B2 through B3 who’ve not been vaccinated. Phase 2: everyone else by age 30 to 40, 20 to 30, 16 to 20.

Please revise this system. You should be more concerned about people dying than them being exposed.

Sincerely,
Christine L. Carlile

January 8, 2021

To the WA State Board of Health

RE: BOH Meeting 1.13.2021

Dear Board:

Informed Choice Washington extends a welcome to Dr. Umair A. Shah to WA State as Secretary of Health. Informed Choice WA's mission is to advocate for public health policy based on scientific integrity, to promote education about healthy immunity, and to protect informed consent and medical freedom in Washington State.

It is our sincere hope we can find common ground on issues of public health with the Board and Secretary on which we can work together for the benefit of all citizens. However, we have very deep concerns about systemic issues, which the current crisis with COVID-19 has escalated.

- DOH lacks critical questioning of guidelines and recommendations coming from HHS, FDA and CDC. DOH must examine the data, not what the federal agencies say about the data. In short, we lack a system of checks and balances in public health.
- DOH fails to provide information about known treatment protocols in response to infectious disease outbreaks when a vaccine is available or forthcoming, driving unwarranted fear. Ignoring available therapeutics (that are peer reviewed, measurable, and documented) in favor of waiting for and promoting investigational EUA vaccines, is causing unnecessary cases of severe disease and death.
- DOH misrepresents vaccine-targeted infection, transmission, and recovery rates, leading to unwarranted fear. A 2019 "inbreak" of measles in an isolated community drove the removal of the MMR personal exemption. For COVID-19, inappropriate use of PCR tests inflates case numbers, and constant messaging is driving neighbor to fear neighbor, despite large studies showing pre- and asymptomatic transmission is very low to near zero.
- For decades, DOH and all public health agencies in the U.S. have placed "faith in vaccination" above ensuring every citizen is provided with enough information to give fully informed consent or refusal.
- DOH provides inaccurate information regarding the safety and effectiveness of hastily enacted policies. Now, denial of harm from long term mask wearing, minimal concern about the impact of lockdowns and isolation, especially on children, may prove more dangerous than SARS-COV-2.
- DOH acts as the marketing arm of drug companies rather than providing accurate vaccine product risk-benefit information. The current messaging that the investigational mRNA Covid-19 vaccines are "safe and effective" violates the state's false-advertising laws, and is eroding the final vestiges of trust the public had in the information coming from the state.
- The state's response to COVID-19 reveals the danger of unlimited private-public partnerships. Bill Gates has essentially captured the DOH to pursue his "final solution" goal of global vaccination, through IDMOD.org, the McKinsey & Co. consulting firm, UW's IHME, and representation in various government entities, such as Blair Hanewall consulting to the state Vaccine Advisory Committee.

WA state is not alone. Globally, these systemic issues have allowed public health to be used to bulldoze society and the economy to pave the way for the World Economic Forum's "Great Reset."

Despite this, we remain devoted to our mission. We know that the people working in public health truly want to improve the health and lives of our community but are caught up in a system that has been captured—at all levels—by private and corporate interests. We will endeavor to seek common ground with the state, to help drive needed reforms, and we will always work to protect the human right to medical freedom and fully informed consent.

Sincerely,

Bernadette Pajer, Drella Stein, and Jena Dalpez
ICWA Board

DOH WSBOH

From: Isaiah & Natalie Perry <kimlauns@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2021 12:27 PM
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: Public Comments for the State Board of Health January 13 Public Meeting

This message has originated from an External Source. Please use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email. Contact your desktop support or IT security staff for assistance and to report suspicious messages.

As the board of health please look at the facts of the survival rate of Covid patients and the lack of long term immunity projected among those who received the Covid-19 vaccine. If the interest is in overall public health you will consider also that the risks associated with mRNA far outweighs the benefits of possibly being "immune" for an indeterminate amount of time from a disease that most of the population has an over 96% survival rate IF contracted. If those receiving the vaccine are still required to wear a mask doesn't it suggest that the vaccine is neither effective at protecting the recipient from contraction or from being a vector? The vaccine advisory committee Still suggest testing Covid 19 vaccine recipients for Covid if they have all the tell symptoms of Covid OR knew they were exposed to someone with Covid. It would appear that when you receive the Covid vaccine you still wear a mask, still social distance and are still considered at risk of contracting. So what's the benefit of mandating this vaccine? Wasn't the promise that we could go back to life as normal?

Please insure that people have fully transparent information about the risks and benefits of the vaccine as well as the High survival rate of those contracting it in the wild as shown by the CDC, and allow for exemptions without discrimination.

Thank you.

DOH WSBOH

From: sue coffman <doulasue@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, January 8, 2021 10:30 AM
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: Public Comments for the State Board of Health January 13 Public Meeting

This message has originated from an External Source. Please use caution when opening attachments, clicking links, or responding to this email. Contact your desktop support or IT security staff for assistance and to report suspicious messages.

To the WA state Board of Health:

PLEASE keep our state and our country FREE! Medical Freedom is extremely important during these times of stress and induced fear! In that vein, I urge you to support the bill that is aiming to **LIMIT THE GOVERNOR'S POWERS and PROHIBIT COVID19 VACCINE DISCRIMINATION!**

As unique human beings, there is no "one-size-fits-all" vaccine, and there never will be! Vaccines have NEVER been proven safe or effective, regardless of what the pharmaceutical reps and lobbyists have been telling you. There ARE risks to your entire body by what is included within vaccines; especially the Covid-19 ones that are being pushed rapidly upon our society at large.

Please take a moment to reflect upon the fact that our nation was created upon freedom and the ability to protest against authoritarian rule. Governor Inslee is not seeking the Truth about the lockdown measures, nor the rights of humans within his state, nor the Covid-19 vaccines.

Vaccine-freedom rights have become a rallying cry from the entire state of Washington. Medical Freedom is a Human Right, and INFORMED CONSENT IS ABSOLUTE!!

Your constituent,

Sue Coffman
concerned WA state resident