## PROPOSED RULE MAKING



**CR-102 (December 2017)** (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do **NOT** use for expedited rule making

## **CODE REVISER USE ONLY**

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: August 21, 2020

TIME: 1:25 PM

WSR 20-18-012

Agency: State Board of Health				
⊠Original Notice				
Supplemental Notice to WSR				
Continuance of WSR				
$oxtimes$ Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR $\underline{20-}$	<u>0-113</u> ; <b>or</b>			
Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as W	/SR ; or			
$\square$ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.33	0(1).			
Proposal is exempt under RCW .				
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe su State Board of Health is proposing rules to permanently adopt containing vitamin E acetate This applies to the sale, offer for products containing vitamin E acetate at any location or by me transmission, the mail or any other delivery service or the inter	existing emergency rules which ban the sale of vapor products sale, or possession with intent to sell or offer for sale vapor ans including by telephone or other method of voice			
Hearing location(s):				
Date:Time:Location: (be specific)	Comment:			
In response to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) public health emergency, the state boar health will not provide a phealth	ysical and the public  I ublic r or none, bugh ion. bal ified hearing  online ar.com 23406 d bur 7-			

Date of intended adoption: 10/13/2020 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)			
Submit written comments to:			
Name: Samantha Pskowski			
Address: P.O. Box 47990			
Olympia, WA 98504-7990			
Email: https://fortress.wa.gov/doh/policyreview			
Fax: N/A			
Other: None			
By (date) <u>10/01/2020</u>			
Assistance for persons with disabilities:			
Contact Samantha Pskowski			
Phone: (360) 789-2358			
Fax:			
TTY: 711			
Email: samantha.pskowski@sboh.wa.gov			
Other:			
By (date) <u>10/06/2020</u>			
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules:	The purp	ose of	this
proposal is to create a new section of rule to prohibit the inclusion of vitamin E acetate in vapor produ			
make permanent an existing emergency rule that prohibits the sale of vapor products containing vitan			
includes the sale, offer for sale, or possession with intent to sell or offer for sale vapor products contained by the sale of			
at any location or by means including telephone or other method of voice transmission, the mail or oth the internet or other online service.	ier delivery	servic	e, or
	(000)		
Reasons supporting proposal: In July 2019, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention of Drug Administration (EDA), state and lead health departments, and other clinical and public health			
and Drug Administration (FDA), state and local health departments, and other clinical and public healt investigating outbreaks of e-cigarette or vaping associated lung injury (EVALI). In September 2019, th			
Emergency Operations Center to aid in the investigation of the multi-state outbreak. As of its final upo			
2020, the CDC has identified 2,807 confirmed cases reported across 50 states, the District of Columb			
US Virgin Islands, including 68 deaths confirmed in 29 states and the District of Columbia. Twenty-se			
including two deaths, have been reported in Washington State.			
As part of the investigation into the multistate outbreak of EVALI, the CDC conducted laboratory tests			
collected from the lungs of patients with vaping-associated lung disease from 10 states. An article rele			per 8,
2019 showed that all of the samples contained vitamin E acetate, providing direct evidence of vitamin			vonor
primary site of injury in the lungs. Vitamin E acetate is a chemical that is used as an additive or thicke products. The CDC has not determined that vitamin E acetate is present in only THC vapor products			
products. THE CDC has not determined that vitalling a detaile is present in only THE vapor products products. THC was identified in 82% of the samples, and nicotine was identified in 62% of the sample			
94% of EVALI patients tested had vitamin E acetate in the bronchoalveloar lavage but no samples fro			
group indicated evidence of vitamin E. Two samples showed presence of other toxicants (one each) i			
did not provide sufficient evidence to identify another toxicant as the source of disease. The CDC has			
acetate as a chemical strongly linked to EVALI and recommends that vitamin E acetate not be added	to vapor pr	roducts	S.
Based on these findings, the Board is proposing to adopt rules that institute a permanent ban of vitam	iin E acetat	ie in va	apor
products.			
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 43.20.050(2)(f)			
Statute being implemented: RCW 43.20.050(2)(f)			
Is rule necessary because of a:		_	
Federal Law?	Yes	$\boxtimes$ 1	No
Federal Court Decision?	Yes	<b>N</b>	No
State Court Decision?	☐ Yes	⊠ N	
If ves. CITATION:	00	الاس	

Agency comments or recommendations, if any, as to statutory language, implementation, enforcement, and fiscal matters: None				
Name of propone	ent: (person or organization)	Washington State Board of Health	☐ Private ☐ Public ☐ Governmental	
Name of agency	personnel responsible for:			
	Name	Office Location	Phone	
Drafting:	Samantha Pskowski	101 Israel Road SE, Tumwater, WA, 98504-7990	(360) 789-2358	
Implementation:	Justin Nordhorn	1025 Union Avenue SE, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1726	
Enforcement:	Justin Nordhorn	1025 Union Avenue SE, Olympia, WA 98504	(360) 664-1726	
Name: Address Phone: Fax: TTY: Email: Other:	obtain a copy of the school di	strict fiscal impact statement by contacting:		
Yes: A pre Name: S Address Phone: ( Fax: N/F TTY: 71 Email: s Other:	eliminary cost-benefit analysis r Samantha Pskowski : P.O. Box 47990, Olympia, W (360) 789-2358	nay be obtained by contacting: /A 98504-7990		

Regulatory	Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a Sn	nall Busine	ess Economic Impact Statement:
	oposal, or portions of the proposal, <b>may be ex</b> 85 RCW). Please check the box for any applic		requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see vition(s):
adopted sol regulation th adopted.	lely to conform and/or comply with federal state	ute or regul	CCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being ations. Please cite the specific federal statute or lescribe the consequences to the state if the rule is not
☐ This rule			e the agency has completed the pilot rule process ule.
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exen a referendum.	npt under th	ne provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exen	npt under R	CW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)	· 🗆	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)
_	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)
☐ This rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exen	npt under R	• •
	of exemptions, if necessary:		
	COMPLETE THIS SECTION	N ONLY IF	NO EXEMPTION APPLIES
If the propo			costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?
n and propo	accontaine in the exempt, accontained in the contained in		100000 (40 4011104 2) 11011 101001020(2)) 011 24011100000.
No of Bever	age and Tobacco Product Manufacturing and		osts were calculated. <u>The cost threshold for the industry</u> ous Store Retailers is \$5,641.52 and \$2,503.84
	t of removing vitamin E acetate from vapor prong agent is used in some vapor products and is		been determined to be \$0.27 per 5mL of product. The dered to be an essential product.
Cost of t	:hickening agent per product =		ost of total thickening agent
-	Total thickening agent / (Tot	al volume o	f product * amount of thickening agent per product)
			uct sold is needed. Given the lack of publicly available
			ated using other available information. The Washington om the state's vapor product tax. The tax is structured as
			over 5 mL. Assuming 50% of revenue comes from pod
devices	and 50% from products of 5 mL, it can be estir	mated that t	here will be 28,148,148 products of 5 mL sold annually.
			not have a way of knowing the distribution of this cost
	stimated \$1,900 per establishment.	<u>ruie spread</u>	evenly among all licensed vapor product retailers would
	re, the average cost of the rule per establishment trequire a SBEIS.	ent does no	t exceed the average cost threshold for the industry and
	Calculations show the rule proposal likely impact statement is required. Insert statement		e-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business
	oublic may obtain a copy of the small business acting:	economic	impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by
N	ame:		

Address:	
Phone:	
Fax:	
TTY:	
Email:	
Other:	
Date: 08/21/2020	Signature:
Name: Michelle A. Davis	
Title: Executive Director	Michelli A Lavis

## NEW SECTION

WAC 246-80-021 Prohibition—Vitamin E acetate. No person including, but not limited to, a person licensed under chapter 69.50 or 70.345 RCW, may sell, offer for sale, or possess with intent to sell, or offer for sale vapor products containing vitamin E acetate. The foregoing prohibition applies to the sale, offer for sale, or possession with intent to sell, or offer for sale vapor products containing vitamin E acetate at any location or by any means in this state including, but not limited to, by means of a telephonic or other method of voice transmission, the mail or any other delivery service, or the internet or other online service.

[ 1 ] OTS-2425.1