From:
 Michele Johnston

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 7:34:02 AM

External Email

The Biden administration is working with the private sector to implement "vaccine passports." Why the private sector and not Congress? Because the President knows the federal and state constitutions and many federal and state laws and regulations do not allow coercion to be used to compel unwanted medical interventions nor do they allow violation of bodily integrity to be the price of freedom.

But it is just as unlawful for private companies to require vaccine identification as it is for the government.

Florida's Governor DeSantis is standing up to protect the rights of Americans and his state's citizens. And so have the governors in Texas, Utah, Idaho. Governors in Mississippi, Iowa, Nebraska, Georgia, and Tennessee have indicated they will not allow vaccine passports in their states.

Please follow his lead and ban all government and private sector vaccine passports in Washington State.

Regards,

Michele Johnston

Spokane

From: Lindsey Garvin
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 7:22:37 AM

External Email

Members of the Board of Health,

Vaccine Passports violate bodily autonomy, and informed consent. All three brands of the Covid vaccine were evaluated on its ability to diminish 2 or more SYMPTOMS of Covid, they were not evaluated on their ability to stop transmission of the virus. Furthermore, there have been hundreds of cases emerging of infection from those who have been previously vaccinated. This vaccine is for personal protection only, and should be a choice. I personally am in recovery from an autoimmune disease, and any agent that artificially hyper stimulates the immune system could be devastating for my health. Please respect bodily autonomy, and personal freedom by making sure the Covid vaccine is not coerced/forced in order to move freely as a citizen.

Respectfully, Lindsey Garvin
 From:
 Paul Aaron Travis

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 6:27:21 AM

External Email

If vaccines are truly doing their job, there is no reason for people who choose NOT to inject questionable substances into their body (especially since mRNA technology does not eventually "fade away").

This is new precedent for which we do not have long-term safety testing and I am waiting a decade to see how it affects those who chose to take these injections.

THE NOTION that I would be discriminated against with a vaccine passport -- for erring on the side of safety -- is unconstitutional and offensive. Get your shots if you choose to do so personally, but PLEASE use your sense of ethics -- this is systemically wrong and must stop.

Thank you, Paul Travis Bainbridge Island From: Janice Grimm

To: DOH WSBOH

Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 6:08:06 AM

External Email

I have serious concerns regarding the mandate of an experimental vaccine. My son sustained permanent damage from a previous vaccine and I am frightened by the prospect of injecting him with a product that hasn't been thoroughly studied. We don't know what all the life altering side effects might be.

Janice Grimm

From: |auren.welch99@yahoo.com
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:01:30 AM

External Email

The Biden administration is working with the private sector to implement "vaccine passports." Why the private sector and not Congress?

Because the President knows the federal and state constitutions and many federal and state laws and regulations do not allow coercion to be used to compel unwanted medical interventions nor do they allow violation of bodily integrity to be the price of freedom.

But it is just as unlawful for private companies to require vaccine identification as it is for the government.

Florida's Governor DeSantis is standing up to protect the rights of Americans and his state's citizens. And so have the governors in Texas, Utah, Idaho. Governors in Mississippi, Iowa, Nebraska, Georgia, and Tennessee have indicated they will not allow vaccine passports in their states.

Please follow his lead and ban all government and private sector vaccine passports in Washington State.

From: To: Subject: Date:

SRHD COVID-19 Recovery Newsletter
DOH WSBOH
Thursday, April 8 - COVID-19 Recovery Newsletter
Thursday, April 8, 2021 5:27:55 PM

External Email

April 8, 2021 // COVID-19 update for Spokane County, WA and surrounding areas.	
?	

From: Rodrigo Gonzalez

To: <u>Jay.Inslee@gov.wa.gov</u>; <u>DOH WSBOH</u>

Subject: Vaccine Passports

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:59:50 PM

External Email

Dear Mr. Inslee,

Thank you for your leadership throughout these hard times.

I wanted to let you know, as a Washingtonian and a business owner, that I have a sincere concern about vaccine passports and how this will affect people's human rights as well as the unconstitutional aspect of this draconian measurement.

We are all born with god-given rights. The Democratic Party is all about being inclusive, no discrimination, love v.s. hate, justice v.s. impunity. How is a **mandatory** "passport" going to be a representation of the above? Really? A passport to be able to live freely?

A "health" passport is discriminatory and the ones affected by this will very likely be the minorities (affrican-american and latino communities).

I was born in Mexico and was privileged to come to the United States legally and I became citizen last year. I love this country, and it's great to have a party by the people for the people.

Please let's protect the reputation of the Democratic Party.

I say NO WAY to this non-sense passport sponsored by the billioner-tech companies. Think about the future consequences of this for your/our children, and the children of your/our children.

In my community (Clark County) every person I know above 60 has had the vaccine. Let's lead this state with truth, love, understanding knowing that we will get through this difficult time.

Thanks again for being there for all of us.

God bless you and god bless the United States of America.

Rodrigo Gonzalez

 From:
 fitzclanof4

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

Subject: Vote No to Vaccine Passports **Date:** Friday, April 9, 2021 7:15:20 AM

External Email

To whom it may concern:

Why? WHY do you want to go down this very slippery slope in treating us like prisoners because that is what this is and you KNOW it.

STOP THIS MADNESS! You've seen the numbers, there is no reason to do this whatsoever!

My husband has been told to not get the shot because of his severe Fibromyalgia symptoms. I am allergic to the flu shots and have been told the same thing, don't do it. Why do we have to prove anything other than we will not get the shots because of a personal health choices?

Please don't do this to our state. It will also completely RUIN the already destroyed tourism to boot thanks to the mismanagement of Seattle.

Sincerely, The Fitzhugh Family

Sent from ProtonMail mobile

From: <u>Lisa Templeton</u>
To: <u>DOH WSBOH</u>

Subject: comments for April 14 BOH meeting

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:12:49 AM

External Email

Hello, will you please provide my comments below to the Board in the meeting materials for next Wednesday, April 14. Thank you.

Dear Members of the Board,

With all the talk of "vaccine passports," I wanted to write to express my fierce opposition to such a measure. I urge you, as a member of the Washington State Board of Health, to do everything in your power to prevent all Washington State agencies, organizations, and businesses from requiring such a "ticket" to participate in society. Please demonstrate your respect for the bodily autonomy and right of each Washingtonian to make his or her own healthcare decisions—including whether to undergo a liability-free experimental injection—without interference, coercion, or threat. For your loved ones and mine, stand up against the creation of a caste system of privileged and "untouchables".

Imposition of a "vaccine passport" at any level renders all of us as nothing more than chattel. If we are merely chattel, then nothing else matters. Thank you for doing your part.

Resolutely yours,

Lisa Templeton

From: Ian Smith
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 11:32:42 AM

External Email

We would urge the Washington State Department of Health to categorically oppose any kind of vaccine passport related to Covid-19. Implementing such a program might help rich white folks get back to their daily lives, but it would be a case of institutional racism for all underprivileged minorities - imposing additional burden and disruption on their lives. Additionally exposing such groups to additional invasive tracking by CIA and NSA aligned big tech companies would be a tavisty on everyone's right or privacy.

Ian and Heather Smith

 From:
 Andrea Keller

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 10:59:21 AM

External Email

Dear Governor Inslee and Board of Health members,

I'm writing to you to ask that you ban the use of Covid 19 vaccine passports in Washington. An individual's COVID-19 vaccination status is private health information, and no governmental entity should require disclosure of this information by mandating a passport for a vaccine that still hasn't been fully approved by the FDA in order to resume normal activities and use public services. This loss of personal freedom and degree of surveillance and monitoring is quite concerning and unnecessary given the 99.5 % survivability rate of the virus. Requiring people to show their health papers in order to participate in society is a violation of citizens' civil and constitutional rights. Please use your authority to block any vaccine passport requirement.

Sincerely,

Andrea Keller

From: Monica Montgomery
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 10:58:44 AM

External Email

PLEASE DO NOT SUPPORT VACCINE PASSPORTS IN WASHINGTON STATE!!!

THIS WOULD BE A DANGEROUS SLIPPERY SLOPE TO TAKING AWAY MANY OF OUR AMERICAN FREEDOMS!!

Sincerely, Monica Montgomery
 From:
 Kristin Rawlinson

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 10:49:19 AM

External Email

Dear WA BOH:

In regards to COVID Vaccine Passports, I fully oppose any imposition of such documents. First and foremost, all COVID "vaccines" are currently not FDA approved and are under Emergency Use Authorization status only. It will be years before full safety testing can be reviewed for final FDA approval. To try to coerce the populous to take this medical out of fear of not being able to engage in daily activities without the ability to provide true informed consent is both unethical and against both Federal Law and the Nuremberg Code. To enforce such actions would open the state to Civil Rights lawsuits at the least. I implore you to stand up to the political pressures and do the right, just, and ethical thing by banning the use of any type of vaccination passport now or in the future.

SIncerely,

Kristin Rawlinson

From: <u>Kathryn Hannon-Murphy</u>

To: <u>DOH WSBOH</u>
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 10:41:52 AM

External Email

Hello,

I strongly urge you to use your authority to ban the establishment or requirements of Vaccine Passports. Covid 19 has a very high survival rate, please focus on proven treatment protocols. Vaccine Passports are a direct violation of my basic human rights. I have the right to determine what I put into my body. Vaccine Passports are also discriminatory and have no place in society.

Thank you, Kathryn Hannon 206-353-5524 From: pilon wes
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 10:25:47 AM

External Email

I do not support a vaccine passport.

It makes zero sense to infringe on ones personal beliefs as well as forcing people

To vaccinate to travel. Common sense

Tells us if you get a vaccine you can

Still get the virus...allow Americans to make their own decisions on their own health

And beliefs

Thank you

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

 From:
 Heather Hibbard

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 10:01:51 AM

External Email

Dear Board of Health members-

I am very concerned of the prospect of vaccine passports. The COVID-19 vaccines are not FDA approved, they are authorized under emergency authorization. There are no long term safety studies on the effects of these brand new vaccines. There are documented allergic reactions and those in our community who are unable to be vaccinated. Those who are unable to be vaccinated and those who do not want a new vaccine that has serious side effects and risks should not be banned from society. Vaccine passports are a violation of our medical autonomy and freedom. We are all responsible for our own health. The aggressive lock down measures must end. Healthy citizens need to return to normal life, our children need to return to in person school 5 days a week without being forced to wear masks making it difficult for them to freely breathe. I ask you as members of the Board of Health to take a comprehensive look at the effect of the shutdown measures. We can not only look at positive cases of COVID-19 but numbers of deaths and hospitalizations. Most recover from COVID with supportive treatments. My 8 year old son tested positive for COVID -19 and has now recovered. My husband is currently sick with what we are presuming is COVID-19. It is very distressing to me that treatments are not being developed. Many doctors have attempted to share their treatment protocols but have been silenced. And why? Because they are inexpensive medications that cost very little, meaning they yield very little profit to pharmaceutical companies? And no, I am not some crazy conspiracy theorist, but with this happening for over a year now its time to open back up fully, focus on treatments for those who do become sick with COVID, and allow those who chose to move on with their lives. Thank you for your time.

Heather O'Briant RN

From: Kintea Rossiter
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:35:03 AM

External Email

Hello,

My name Kintea Rossiter and a resident of Seattle, WA. I am writing to voice my concern regarding the approval and adoption of 'vaccine passport'. I believe that everyone should have the right to choose what they put in their body, including vaccines. Please do not pass legislation to force citizens to get the Covid-19 vaccine if they do not want to.

Thank you. Kintea Rossiter

"Love thy neighbor as thyself." - Mark 12:31

Sent with **ProtonMail** Secure Email.

From: Michelle Whorley
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:21:24 AM

External Email

I feel very strongly that me and my family should have the right to choose not to vaccinate without being discriminated against for our decision. Is this even within Hippa law for random people/companies to have access to those records and know my status?

These injections are still experimental and they have been many stories of adverse reactions and death. As recent as yesterday, Colorado and North Carolina had to shut down vaccination sites because of too many adverse reactions.

Thanks, Michelle Whorley From: Wendi LUBINUS

To: DOH WSBOH

Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:20:09 AM

External Email

Please no Vaccine Passport in WA state. As a family with a six figure income I am planning vacations in states without vaccine passports and mask mandates. I have a lot of my friends with similar incomes that are doing the same thing! I love the state of WA and it's beauty but will not compromise my liberty for a "perceived" safety.

When the average age of death from CV19 is at the age expectancy age all these regulations make no sense. Furthermore 80% of the people hospitalized for CV19 were obese. No amount of regulation or passports would make these people healthy. We need to focus on making people healthier not more regulation to make people unhealthy.

Lastly the vaccine doesn't prevent the spread of SARS Cov2. All it does is "prevent clinical disease" which is COVID 19. All the COVID 18 vaccines prevent clinical disease only. You can still catch it and speak it. If you read the clinical trials and listen to the careful wording of our "experts" you would understand that.

Please no Vaccine Passports in WA or many of us with larger taxable incomes who spend a lot in this state will leave.

Thank you

Wendi Lubinus Montesano WA From: Gary Murrow
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:13:26 AM

External Email

Board of Health Members,

We are long time residents of Thurston County. We are increasingly aware that the issue of mandating vaccine passports is now being discussed and considered by those in positions of authority.

Establishing the requirement of vaccine passports is a direct assault on our constitutional rights of personal liberty and freedom by restricting individuals from freely participating in society and sustaining themselves. The residents of Washington State are smart people. We have learned how to be safe after a year-long lockdown and are capable of making wise choices that precludes the need for vaccine passports and unlimited control of the population.

Therefore, we hereby request that you use whatever authority you have to ban or block any vaccine passport establishment or requirement -- or to support any such ban or block.

Sincerely,

Gary and Candace Murrow 5524 Johnson Point RD NE Olympia 98516

360 438-8288

"Success is liking yourself, liking what you do, and liking how you do it." - Maya Angelou

From: Dirk Nicks
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:03:34 AM

External Email

To mandate a vaccine passport in the State of Washington is wrong on several levels:

- 1. People are having severe side effects from the vaccine including blood clots, severe rashes and paralysis up to, and including death. I know of several people personally that this has happened to.
- 2. Some people are allergic to the vaccine which a vaccine passport would single those folks out.
 - 3. It's racist & mysoginistic to require everyone to have one.
 - 4. This would be an infringement on my personal freedom to travel as I please.
 - 5. It is severe government overreach in the highest degree.

I respectfully submit this reasoning and am sincerely opposed to requiring a vaccine passport.

Dirk Nicks

From: marina shea **DOH WSBOH** To: Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:03:21 AM

External Email

I want to make it clear that this vaccination passport is unconstitutional and this i medical tyranny. The left in this state have done everything by the marxist socialist playbook and its deplorable. This is America and we live by the constitution and your edicts are over in my life! I will fight every step of the way and my body my choice and I am protected by Hepa and you fools have lost your minds and are now going along with the biden new world order Globalist agenda 2030. Time to talk out loud your crimes against humanity and the lies and allowing a governor to tell doctors what they can and cannot use as therapeutics for a damn flu virus. This is a outrageous overstep of government and you have poked the bear enough!! We are not slaves and this is enslavement. I am one angry citizen!!

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

 rom:
 Shilah Gould

 o:
 DOH WSBOH

 ubject:
 My Public Comments

 late:
 Friday, April 9, 2021 8:52:52 AM

External Email

Dear WA State Board of Public Health,

Please stand up for freedom for all by banning vaccine passports. This is an experimental shot, with ZERO long-term studies, to protect against an illness that has a recovery rate of 99%+ in otherwise healthy individuals.

Sincerely, Shilah Gould Port Townsend, WA

The Biden administration is working with the private sector to implement "vaccine passports." Why the private sector and not Congress? Because the President knows the federal and state constitutions and many federal and state laws and regulations do not allow coercion to be used to compel unwanted medical interventions nor do they allow violation of bodily integrity to be the price of freedom.

But it is just as unlawful for private companies to require vaccine identification as it is for the government.

Florida's Governor DeSantis is standing up to protect the rights of Americans and his state's citizens. And so have the governors in Texas, Utah, Idaho. Governors in Mississippi, Iowa, Nebraska, Georgia, and Tennessee have indicated they will not allow vaccine passports in their states.

Please follow his lead and ban all government and private sector vaccine passports in Washington State.
 From:
 Deborah Rose

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:35:37 AM

External Email

Members of the Washington State Board of Health,

I urge you to refuse the institution of the Vaccine Passport program when there are such greater issues in the Health Care System that needs to be addressed first!

For example; any medication or medical procedure I take, I research it and determine if I am willing to take the risk and more importantly, do I have the ability and right resources available to mitigate the risks and in this case the medical cost to mitigate any serious side effects.

According to "The Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VARS)"has reported 2,249 dead, 50,861 injured from the COVID-19 vaccines as of March 26, 2021. If the vaccination program is design to save everyone's lives, then why is it acceptable to sacrifice lives through this mass vaccination campaign?

How can a Vaccination Passport remedy the overall disparities of inequalities of a health care system that due to the level of coverage the health care insurance will provide and due to the inequalities to those who can not afford health care insurances let alone health care services mitigate the costs for the individual from serious side effects the unlicensed COVID-19 vaccines may cause? How can there be acceptance to a Vaccine Passport for all when this is a glaring issue for many? How will those less fortunate mitigate any serious side effects of the vaccine when they can't afford the health care costs in the first place?

Although, the media has censored many hesitant data and information, there are valid reasons for the COVID-19 hesitancy as there isn't enough data from the studies and testing that warrants the Vaccine Passport for COVID-19. The vaccine itself has not been tested on humans until this recent marketing campaign to inoculate the global world. It has only been approved for emergency use and not licensed by the FDA. Even the PCR testing is in question. For example, from personal experience:

My son and his girlfriend both contracted COVID-19, quarantine for 14 day, retested negative, his girlfriend (as a nurse in the emergency room) went back to work with no symptoms and that evening struggled to breathe and went to the emergency room where she was tested positive again. Her doctor told her she was not contagious and sent her home.

How can we rely on the questionable testing, uncertainty of the virus and the notion if vaccinated it will protect everyone when (as I understand) the vaccines are only effective to lessen the severity of the symptoms from COVID-19 virus for the individual, but, the vaccinated individual can still get the virus and transmit the virus to others. CDC still

recommends the continued use of Masks with no guidance as to when that recommendation will not be necessary anymore. How can we ever achieve herd immunity when the COVID-19 vaccine will be required every 6 months? Seems like we are introducing a solution to the wrong type of virus that mutates and will be impossible to create the right strain vaccine for the unpredictable mutations.

As a mother who has buried my 3 month old son 43 days after receiving a "trial dose" HIB vaccine injection, I, from experience know the science is not settled and am against the Vaccine Passport being initiated as the solution for all our well being. It is clearly a program that discriminates against individuals who (for unknown reasons) incur serious side effects from the vaccination program. How is this considered the best solution for all? Some medical procedures and medication works for some but not for all. We all have different reactions, for example, My son is Lactose intolerant but I am not. Should I be required to refrain from all dairy products too?

I believe we all want the same thing, we all want to be protected and the desire to protect others from serious diseases, we all want to live our life with the interactions of our fellow neighbors and community and we all want it to be an equitable solution for all.

The Vaccine Passports does not guarantee fair equitable services and a fair and equitable solution for all citizens of the United States, let alone our own local community and for the citizens in this beautiful State of Washington.

I urge you to refuse the institution of the Vaccine Passport program when there are such greater issues in the Health Care System that needs to be addressed first!

Best Regards, Deborah Rose, PMP, CSSGB Deborah.Rose@me.com 360-901-3304
 From:
 Beth ONeal

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Com

Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 8:24:03 AM

External Email

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a liberal democrat, or at least I used to be. I have fought for our environment, equal rights, for peace and for the poor. I have been disturbed by the divisive nature in which this pandemic has been shoved down our throats. The democrats have used it to divide and conquer, it feels like. Families and friends are in opposition just because one may question if masks are effective enough to warrant all service and lower wage earners to wear them 8 hours a day, shutting down all small businesses and setting up a situation where big business and big pharma are making incredible profits which translates into power. Now, the vaccine push, for an experimental vaccine, which shows no efficacy in transmission prevention and efficacy in symptoms is limited because the study was so limited (especially in diversity of age and duration). We see the VAERS showing over 2200 deaths in the United States, and this is easily under reported. I have personal experience with the under reporting as my aunt went in to total loss of function 8 days after her first Pfizer shot. It was a very strange and rapid demise. In talking with the doctors and nurses at Jefferson Healthcare, there is clearly no reporting or understanding of how to report a vaccine adverse effect. How can that be? This is still in trial phase these experimental vaccines and the scientific method requires follow through and reporting all adverse effects so scientists can try to understand all the effects of these injections. If the very institution, Jefferson Healthcare, who is injecting people in the parking lot at a bragged about rapid rate, is unaware of how to even report adverse effects there is a good argument that science is being severely neglected here. And, as the propaganda continues, making people think that if one does not get vaccinated they are putting the herd at risk, there is more pressure to consider having papers (sounds hauntingly familiar) allowing one to move freely in society only if one succumbs to an experimental medical procedure. All the while, no manufacturer of the experimental vaccines is liable for any damages done, nor is the government with an EUA.

It is wrong to require or discriminate against people based on a medical procedure. A vaccine passport is just that. Please hold up our democracy and protect our rights and do not allow medical discrimination in the form of vaccine passports to become a reality. It is wrong. It is against our rights to choose medical procedures and it is dangerous.

Thank you for your time and work.

Warmly, Beth O'Neal From: romakn
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:54:15 PM

External Email

This message is a plea to uphold personal liberties, respect health choice, and BAN vaccine passports. Vaccine passports are blatant discrimination and encourage bullying. People may decline the COVID vaccine for any number of reasons, including a doctor's recommendation. Why should such people be denied civil liberties and equal access? Instead of considering, endorsing, or mandating vaccine passports, the Board of Health should implement code to specifically protect individuals, regardless of vaccine status, from harassment, bullying, coercion, and denial of services.

Sent with **ProtonMail** Secure Email.

From: Haim Strasbourger
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 11:37:47 AM

External Email

To whom this may concern,

I am in support of medical freedom for all WA citizens. In that respect, I urge the board to use whatever authority possible to ban or block any attempt to have a requirement to have a vaccine passport when doing regular life activities. If the board doesn't have that authority, I urge the board to support a ban or block of that type of action.

Thank you, Haim Strasbourger Federal Way, WA From: Stephanie Guerra
To: DOH WSBOH

Subject: Please prohibit vaccine passports **Date:** Priday, April 9, 2021 10:11:55 AM

External Email

Members of the Board of Health:

Thank you for your service to Washingtonians during this challenging time. I am a long-time Seattle resident, and I am writing to ask that you prohibit vaccine passports in the public or private sector. The complexity of COVID-19 vaccination is being disregarded in such proposals. I ask you to consider the following points:

- The COVID-19 vaccines have not undergone the rigorous, lengthy clinical trials usually required for new vaccines.
- The CDC's early warning system, VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System), is a passive reporting system for incidents of vaccine injury and death. While deaths and injuries reported on VAERS are not confirmed, and "may contain information that is incomplete, inaccurate, coincidental, or unverifiable" (CDC Disclaimer), they do provide a valuable "red flag" to the CDC when a vaccine is causing an unusually high number of negative reactions. In only three months, thousands of deaths and tens of thousands of adverse reactions have been reported on VAERS for the COVID-19 vaccines. This deserves cautious investigation.
- Vaccine passports would support systemic discrimination against communities of color, families experiencing homelessness, and other vulnerable Washingtonians. Many people of color are rightfully wary of government medical mandates and hesitant to receive new vaccines, recalling all-too recent incidents such as the Tuskegee Syphilis Study conducted between 1932 and 1972 by the CDC and United States Public Health Service, in which African American men were recruited with the promise of free health care and lied to about the nature of the study (intended to investigate the full progression of syphilis). Subjects were denied available medical treatment and suffered blindness, insanity, and death. People of color should not be forced to comply with medical mandates from a government that has severely discriminated against them and subjected their bodies to medical experimentation without consent.
- Vaccine passports would support systemic discrimination against persons with medical or religious exemptions to vaccination, in addition to those who have medically contraindicated conditions but have not obtained a formal medical exemption (e.g. individuals with a range of allergy profiles, individuals prone to anaphylaxis, and individuals with vascular disease).
- Dr. Hooman Noorchashm, a physician and medical researcher, has come forward to offer balanced, reasonable cautions around mass vaccination during a pandemic and potential injury to infected individuals receiving vaccines. For more information on this phenomenon, please read his <u>letter to the FDA and Pfizer</u> on the danger of COVID-19 vaccination in the naturally infected.

I ask that you please consider the nuances of this difficult situation and resist the pressure to push forward a "Band-Aid" solution that will impose serious penalties on individuals who want to exercise caution and make informed choices around a new medical procedure. Thank you for your time and attention, and I wish you the very best as you work to come to a solution around this challenge.

Regards,

Stephanie Guerra 4019 Fauntleroy Way SW Seattle, WA 98126 206-902-7094 From: <u>hockeyhome</u>

To: <u>Jay.Inslee@gov.wa.gov</u>; <u>DOH WSBOH</u>

Subject: Please, no passports!

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 11:18:01 AM

External Email

As a daughter of immigrants from WWII, I beg you to not start the health passport! Even just knowing that WA would consider a health passport is extremely alarming!!

We should not give any thought to such a tool of apartheid. It will divide people into "haves" and "have nots".

Such a divisive tool can destroy lives, as people will be ostracized for not participating.

You work for us. Your job is to protect our freedoms and keep us unified. There is enough hate and discrimination in the world - don't contribute to it and make the world worse!

We do not want this future for our children or grandchildren. We do not want anyone to be forced to carry harmful phones or papers with Q codes or any other method, especially not in or on our natural bodies. Stop this nonsense now!!

Thank you, angry mama bear Natalie

Sent with **ProtonMail** Secure Email.

From: MARGARET TWEET
To: DOH WSBOH

Subject: Public Comment for April 14 State Board of Health Public Meeting

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 11:28:38 AM

External Email

- 1) Please do NOT approve rules that require vaccine passports in WA state in order to access public services. Vaccination status should not be used as a basis for discrimination against residents who choose not to get vaccinated. Residents should have access to public services without coercion to accept unwanted medical interventions. Private businesses that offer essential services to the public such as groceries, gas, home repair products etc likewise should not be allowed to discriminate against residents who choose not to get vaccinated. Please do not eliminate religious exemptions to vaccination.
- 2) Please better inform the public about the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System including how to report adverse events and deaths after vaccination. See this link <u>VAERS Report an Adverse Event (hhs.gov)</u>

Number of COVID Vaccine Injuries Reported to VAERS Surpasses 50,000, CDC Data Show • Children's Health Defense

Data released today by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) on the number of injuries and deaths reported to the <u>Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System</u> (VAERS) following <u>COVID vaccines</u> revealed steadily rising numbers, but no new trends. VAERS is the primary mechanism for reporting adverse vaccine reactions in the U.S. Reports submitted to VAERS require further investigation before a causal relationship can be confirmed.

Every Friday, <u>VAERS</u> makes public all vaccine injury reports received to the system as of Friday of the previous week. Today's data show that between Dec. 14, 2020, and March 26, a total of <u>50,861 total adverse events</u> were reported to VAERS, including <u>2,249 deaths</u> — an increase of 199 over the previous seven days — and <u>7,726 serious injuries</u>, up 631 over the same time period.

Of the 2,249 deaths reported as of March 26, 28% occurred within 48 hours of vaccination, 19% occurred within 24 hours and 43% occurred in people who became ill within 48 hours of being vaccinated.

In the U.S., <u>136.7 million</u> COVID vaccine doses had been administered as of March 26.

This week's VAERS data show:

- 19% of deaths were related to cardiac disorders.
- 45% of those who died were male, 43% were female and the remaining death reports did not include gender of the deceased.
- The <u>average age</u> of those who died was 77.7 and the youngest death was an 18-year-old.
- As of March 26, <u>341 pregnant women</u> had reported adverse events related to COVID vaccines, including 104 reports of <u>miscarriage or premature birth</u>.
- Of the <u>578 cases of Bell's Palsy reported</u>, 63% of cases were reported

after <u>Pfizer-BioNTech</u> vaccinations — almost twice as many as reported (36%) following vaccination with the Moderna vaccine. Seven cases of Bell's Palsy were reported with Johnson & Johnson (J&J) vaccine (1%).

- There were <u>2,578 reports of anaphylaxis</u>, with 53% of cases attributed to the <u>Pfizer-BioNTech</u> vaccine, 44% to <u>Moderna</u> and 3% to <u>J&J vaccine</u>, which was <u>rolled out</u> in the U.S. on March 2.
- Using a broadened search for any reference to anaphylaxis in chart notes resulted in <u>15,193 reports</u>, with 52% of cases attributed to <u>Pfizer's COVID</u> <u>vaccine</u>, 45% to <u>Moderna</u> and 3% to <u>J&J</u>. With each vaccine, nearly 42% of anaphylactic reports occurred in people aged 17-44.

According to the <u>CDC's website</u>, "the CDC follows up on any report of death to request additional information and learn more about what occurred and to determine whether the death was a result of the vaccine or unrelated."

To date, the only information the CDC has published related to the investigation of COVID vaccine-related deaths and how those investigations were conducted is a COVID-19 Vaccine Safety Update via the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices, published Jan. 27.

An interview in MedPage Today highlighted the shortfalls of the post-marketing surveillance of the COVID vaccine. Aaron Kesselheim, professor of medicine at Harvard Medical School and Brigham and Women's Hospital in Boston, said we are seeing a lot of spontaneous reporting, a lack of formal post-approval studies because vaccines have only received Emergency Use Authorization and vaccines being given outside the healthcare systems — interfering with the ability to rigorously collect observational data.

Although the CDC and U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) have various systems in place to monitor the safety of vaccines, they are not "up and running" and do not have adequate resources behind them, Kesselheim said.

According to Kesselheim, there's essentially <u>nobody keeping track</u> of COVID adverse reactions in the U.S. and no long-term safety data, but emphasized that this <u>new mRNA technology</u> is "extremely effective and extremely safe."

On March 8, The Defender contacted the CDC with questions about reported deaths and injuries related to COVID vaccines. We provided a written list of questions about how the CDC conducts investigations into reported deaths, the status of investigations on deaths reported in the media, if autopsies are being done and the standard for determining whether an injury is causally connected to a vaccine. We also inquired about whether healthcare providers are reporting all injuries and deaths that might be connected to the COVID vaccine, and what education initiatives are in place to encourage and facilitate proper and accurate reporting. It took the CDC 22 days to respond to our repeated inquiries. When someone did, the

person told us the agency had never received the questions — even though the employees we talked to several times said their press officers were working through the list of questions and were reviewing the email we sent. We provided the questions again yesterday, and requested a response by April 7.

Breakthrough cases

On March 31, <u>The Defender reported</u> on the increasing number of "breakthrough cases" of COVID in fully vaccinated people. Washington, Florida, South Carolina, Texas, New York, California and Minnesota have all reported breakthrough cases of

COVID, some of which have resulted in hospitalization and death. Investigations are underway to determine if there were problems with the vaccines or if people had been infected with a variant.

When asked about the increasing number of breakthrough cases during a White House press conference, <u>Dr. Anthony Fauci</u>, President Biden's chief medical advisor, said it is something they will take seriously and follow closely, but breakthrough infections happen with any vaccination.

CDC issues new travel guidance, vaccine passports stir controversy. The CDC today issued new travel guidance stating that fully vaccinated Americans traveling within the U.S. do not have to get tested for COVID before or after their trip, and do not need to self-quarantine when they return home.

On March 29, <u>The Defender reported</u> that the Biden administration and private companies are working to develop <u>vaccine passports</u> that would require Americans to prove they've been vaccinated against COVID as the country opens.

Dr. Naomi Wolf, founder and CEO of <u>Daily Clout</u>, said the passport system really isn't about the vaccine. It's about your data, and "once this rolls out you don't have a choice about being part of the system."

Rep. Pete Sessions (R-Texas) said that vaccine credentials are a complete government overstep that will undermine public trust and substantially limit normal day-to-day essential activities. Rep. Lauren Boebert (R-Colo.) said "vaccine passports are unconstitutional. Period."

On March 26, New York <u>launched</u> a digital vaccine passport system known as Excelsior Pass that residents can use to prove they've been vaccinated or recently tested negative for infection. The New York system, built on <u>IBM's digital health pass platform</u>, will be used at dozens of events, including arts and entertainment venues.

J&J makes headlines with manufacturing mix-up, report of severe allergic reaction

As <u>The Defender reported</u> April 1, 15 million doses of J&J's vaccine failed quality control after workers at a Baltimore manufacturing plant negligently put an <u>AstraZeneca</u> ingredient in J&J's COVID vaccine. The mix-up forced regulators to delay authorization of the plant's production lines and prompted an investigation by the FDA.

On March 31, <u>Business Insider</u> reported that a 74-year-old Virginia man suffered a rare reaction to J&J's vaccine that caused a painful rash to spread across his entire body and skin to peel off. Richard Terrell told local <u>news station WRIC</u> he began suffering strange symptoms four days after receiving the vaccine.

"I began to feel a little discomfort in my armpit and then a few days later I began to get an itchy rash, and then after that I began to swell and my skin turned red," Terrell said.

The rash spread to his entire body and his skin peeled off. He went to the emergency room, where doctors determined that he had experienced an adverse reaction to the COVID vaccine.

AstraZeneca suspended in Germany and Canada

On March 31, <u>The Defender reported</u> that Germany indefinitely suspended use of the Oxford-AstraZeneca <u>COVID vaccine</u> for anyone under 60 following advice from <u>STIKO</u>, the country's independent vaccine committee and external experts. The committee investigated <u>reports of blood clots</u>, some fatal, in people who received the vaccine and decided to give the vaccine only to people 60 or older

unless they belong to a high-risk category where the benefits outweigh the risk of a serious side-effect.

As <u>The Defender reported</u> on March 30, several regions of Germany, including Berlin and Munich, had temporarily paused the vaccine for people under 60 after Germany's vaccine regulator disclosed 31 cases of a rare brain blood clot, nine of which resulted in deaths. The decision was made as a precaution ahead of a meeting with national medical regulators scheduled for later in the day where it was decided to indefinitely suspend the vaccine.

On March 30, Canada announced it was suspending AstraZeneca's vaccine for people under age 55 following concerns it might be linked to rare blood clots, <u>The Defender reported</u>.

Health Canada demanded AstraZeneca conduct a detailed study on the risks and benefits of its COVID vaccine across multiple age groups, and suspended the vaccine for younger groups pending the outcome of that review.

On March 24, Health Canada <u>updated</u> the product information for AstraZeneca's COVID vaccines to warn of the risk of rare blood clots associated with low levels of blood platelets following vaccinations — a stark reversal from Canada's <u>former position</u>.

full article: Number of COVID Vaccine Injuries Reported to VAERS Surpasses 50.000, CDC Data Show • Children's Health Defense

3) Please require that CT values for COV-2 PCR tests be reported by labs that submit test results to any health authority in WA state. The following article explains:

THE IMPORTANCE OF KNOWING THE CT VALUE AT WHICH SARS-COV-2 PCR TESTS ARE POSITIVE

Posted by <u>Dr. Rob Rennebohm</u> | Feb 7, 2021

As a pediatrician and pediatric rheumatologist who has published peer-reviewed articles on COVID-19, I would like to comment on the importance of knowing the Ct value at which a SARS-CoV-2 PCR test becomes positive. [The Jefferson County Health Department is not releasing this information. See our earlier article at this link. The Editor]

The PCR test for the SARS-CoV-2 virus is a good test when it is properly manufactured, properly conducted, used in an appropriate setting (e.g., in the evaluation of inpatients with COVID-like clinical features), and properly interpreted by carefully and fully taking Ct values into account.

It is not a reliable test when used in the screening of asymptomatic (or only mildly and non-specifically symptomatic) individuals, if the test is positive only after 33 or more cycles of amplification and this full information is not reported to patients and their physicians.

Ct = Cycle threshold; Ct = the number of amplification cycles needed before the test

detects presence of viral material in a specimen. The higher the number of amplification cycles needed before detection of viral material occurs (i.e., the higher the Ct number), the lower the viral load and the less sick and contagious the person is likely to be.

If a test becomes positive after only 12 amplification cycles (i.e., positive at a Ct of 12), the viral load is very high—approximately 100,000,000 copies per microliter. [1-3] If the test becomes positive after 22 cycles (at a Ct of 22), the viral load is approximately 2,500,000 copies/mL. [4-5] If the test becomes positive only after 37, 40, or 45 amplification cycles, the result most likely represents either a false positive, or a true positive due to only a trace amount (less than 100 copies, even just 1-3 copies) of inert, non-contagious, "dead" SARS-CoV-2 viral debris (assuming the test is truly capable of always accurately identifying such a tiny amount of viral debris). [2, 6, 7]. Rarely, a positive test at a high Ct is identifying an asymptomatic person who has very recently become infected and might soon have a high viral load (low Ct), but this possibility can be evaluated by carefully following the person and repeating the test within 3-4 days, to see if symptoms develop and/or the Ct drops.

Unfortunately, it is very difficult to know with certainty whether a positive result at a Ct of 33 or higher represents a false positive or an accurately identified trace amount of SARS-CoV-2 viral material. The test was not designed to be reliably accurate after so many amplification cycles. When the test is used in an appropriate setting and the test is positive at a Ct of 30 or less, the false positivity rate is probably less than 4% (perhaps only 1-2%, as the test manufacturers claim). However, when the test is used in a surveillance setting and is "positive" at a Ct of 33 or higher (particularly at 37 or higher) the exact false positivity rate is currently unknown and likely to be quite high—probably as high as 70%. [6, 7]

Based on what is currently scientifically known, it is best (most accurate) to label any test result that is "positive" at a Ct higher than 32 as an "inadequately interpretable" result. It is not scientifically sound and, in fact, is misleading and harmful, to label people with a positive test at a Ct of 33 or higher as a "new COVID-19 case." More accurately, they are people with an "inadequately interpretable" result who, furthermore, are unlikely to be infectious [2, 8]. Regarding this latter point, please see Graph 1 (after the References section), which points out that it is extremely unlikely that a person with a positive test at a Ct >35 is infectious.

For the above reasons, experienced PCR scientists recommend stopping the PCR test after 30 (or 32 at the most) amplification cycles, because positive results obtained after 32 or more cycles are unreliable (inadequately interpretable) [2] and are not associated with contagiousness [2, 8].

Unfortunately, to date, SARS-CoV-2 PCR tests have been reported only as being positive or negative, with no indication of how strongly or weakly positive. Although Ct results have always been available for each individual test (since the beginning of the pandemic), Ct results have not been routinely reported or used for clinical or epidemiological purposes. This has been the case throughout the USA and most of the world.

It has also been unfortunate that most SARS-CoV-2 PCR tests are set to perform 40, 45, even 50 amplification cycles in their effort to detect viral material. (This varies from one test kit to another—see Table 1 after References.) That is, if a person's specimen is negative after 30 amplification cycles, further cycles are, nevertheless, performed (up to 50 cycles with some tests), looking for evidence of tiny amounts of

viral material. Only if no viral material is detected after 40, 45, or 50 cycles (whichever number the test system sets as the stop point) is the test declared negative. Even if a test becomes positive only after 45 or 50 amplification cycles, it is declared a positive test (without any mention of the Ct value) and the person tested is declared a "new COVID case."

The Jefferson Healthcare Lab uses the XpertXpress SARS-CoV-2 PCR test, which is set to perform 45 amplification cycles before stopping its effort to detect SARS-CoV-2 viral material.

When a person is told they have a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR test, they deserve to know how strongly positive their test is and what their result means. Does their result mean they are carrying a huge viral load, are very contagious, and should be very worried about themselves and those with whom they have been in contact? Or are they carrying only a tiny amount of dead, non-contagious viral debris that represents no threat to them or others? Or are they in a pre-symptomatic phase, with a low viral load that could soon accelerate? Or does their result represent a false positive? The Ct value at which a person's test is positive can shed considerable light on the above critically important questions. But, again, to date, Ct values of positive tests have not been made available to patients, physicians, public servants, or the public. Having emphasized the importance of knowing the Ct value at which a test is positive, it is important to also emphasize that there are limitations to the information provided by the Ct value. The Ct value is not a true quantitative test of viral load; it just provides a rough and indirect (but, nevertheless, very helpful) estimate (a good, educated guess) of what the viral load might be. It is true, too, that if the same specimen is tested with 3 different COVID PCR tests each might be positive at a different Ct value (e.g., at a Ct of 16 in one test, 20 in another test, and 22 in the third —but not at 37 or 45 in one of the three). For these reasons Ct values need to be interpreted with caution and in clinical context, particularly until more data on Ct values of positive tests have been collected and fully analyzed.

In the meantime, it is far better to have a COVID PCR test report that includes the Ct value at which the test was positive, than to have a report that only says positive (or negative) without any Ct information provided. Though imperfect, the estimate of viral load offered by the Ct value is far more valuable than no estimate at all, especially if the Ct value is carefully interpreted and placed into clinical context.

When in early November the CDC reported that 100,000 "new COVID cases" (meaning new instances of a person having a "positive" SARS-CoV-2 PCR result) were occurring per day in the USA, neither the individuals with the positive tests, their physicians, their public health departments, the CDC, the NIH, WHO, Johns Hopkins University, or the public knew what percentage of those 100,000 tests were positive at a Ct >32 and what percentage were positive at a Ct of 30 or lower—because, to date, the Ct values at which tests have been positive have not been reported or taken into consideration.

It would be enormously beneficial if we, as a nation, were to report, study, clinically use, learn from, and base public dialogue and public policy (at least in part) on the Ct values of positive tests. This would include retrospective and prospective reporting of the Ct values of all positive tests. We could at least start doing this in Jefferson and Clallam counties and, thereby, lead the nation in doing so. We would be doing the nation a great service.

Medically, morally, and ethically—individuals with positive PCR tests, as well as

physicians, epidemiologists, public policy makers, and the public— deserve to know, and need to know, the Ct value at which a SARS-CoV-2 PCR test is positive. Without Ct information, interpretation of the number of "new COVID cases," "new COVID hospitalizations" and "new COVID deaths" is severely compromised, as is public policy and the care of individual patients.

From now on, when a person is told that their SARS-CoV-2 PCR test is positive, they and their physicians would be wise to ask, "At what Ct value was the test positive?" And when the public is told that 100,000 new COVID cases have been occurring per day, the public and their public servants would be wise to ask, "What percentage of those 100,000 were positive at a Ct of 33 or higher (particularly a Ct of 37 or higher)?"

Such questions and their honest answers would facilitate healthy public dialogue and stimulate much-needed critical thinking—both of which are essential for successful resolution of the COVID-19 pandemic. True science and true democracy depend on such critical thinking and healthy, informed, public dialogue.

For further, more detailed discussion of Ct values, including caveats about Ct information, please see my original article, " *The Importance of Knowing the Ct Value at which COVID PCR Tests are Positive*," which may be found on the " *Notes from the Social Clinic*" website: https://notesfromthesocialclinic.org/the-importance-of-knowing-the-ct-value-at-which-covid-pcr-tests-are-positive/

TABLE 1:

The number of amplification cycles that various commercial SARS-CoV-2 PCR Tests are set to perform in their effort to detect viral material:

• Gnomegen: 39 cycles

• GK: 40 cycles

In Bios-Aires: 45 cyclesXpert Xpress: 45 cyclesLuminex: 45 cyclesQuest: 50 cycles

• full article: <u>The Importance Of Knowing The Ct Value At Which SARS-CoV-2</u> PCR Tests Are Positive | Port Townsend FreePress From: <u>Jessica Holmes</u>
To: <u>DOH WSBOH</u>

Subject: Public Comment for today"s Board Meeting

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:28:09 AM

External Email

Dear Members of the Board,

As the question of "vaccine passports" is being debated at the local, state and national level, please publicly recognize that any such form of discrimination and health surveillance violates the basic rights of citizens. Please stand up to protect the medical freedom of WA's community members. I strongly request that you use any/all authority you have to ban and block any vaccine passport establishment or requirement, whether it comes from the private sector, public-private partnerships, or elsewhere.

Additionally, as has been repeatedly requested, I request that you convene a committee of practitioners (without conflicts of interest) so that COVID treatment protocols can be transparently discussed, shared, and utilized to save lives.

Thank you for your attention to these issues. Jessica Holmes, WA resident

From: **Testify Online Survey DOH WSBOH** To: Subject: Survey Response: Testify Online * Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 11:00:19 AM The following survey response is submitted: 1. State Board of Health Meeting Date: 4/14/21 2. Agenda Item or Issue: Discrimination based upon Vaccination Status 3. Your Name: Brian Thompson 4. Do you have a professional title? 1. Yes Engineer 5. Are you representing an organization? 2. No. 6. Address: 17016 74th Ave W Edmonds, WA 98026 7. Email: Btsthompson@gmail.com 8. Phone Number (Include Area Code): 2067144905

9. Do you have any special expertise relevant to this topic?

2. No

Anyone can oppose discrimination. This Board is in a special position to promote policy that opposes discrimination.

10. Are you testifying on a specific proposal under consideration by the board?

No

Agenda item 4 addresses COVID-19 legislative policy update. However, this public comment is due by noon and the meeting materials may not be posted until 5pm. Therefore, it is impossible to provide written comment regarding a proposal which may be contained or could result from those materials

which have not yet been disclosed. 1. If the legislative policy includes banning vaccine passports or prohibiting discrimination based upon vaccination status, I urge the Board to support such measures. 2. If policy would establish a vaccine passport or involves discrimination based upon individual vaccination status, I call on this Board to oppose such measures! 3. If the legislative update is silent on this topic, I urge this Board to establish or promote policy which would ban or block any discrimination based upon vaccination status or establishment of a "vaccine passport."

11. Are you Pro or Con on the proposal?

1. Pro

I am Pro any proposal that prevents discrimination on the basis of vaccination status. I am Con on any proposal which establishes a vaccine passport or discriminates on the basis of an individual's vaccination status. Discriminating on the basis of vaccination status, including allowing vaccine passports, is discrimination. Please stand against discrimination.

From: Sarah Geiger
To: DOH WSBOH

Subject: Washingtonians day NO to vaccine mandates and passports

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 9:02:38 AM

External Email

Board of Health,

It is no secret each of you were hand selected by our criminal governor Inslee.

Your choices are unconstitutional and will not be tolerated. We simply won't comply to mask mandates, forced poison and bullying businesses into requiring vaccine passports.

Citizens are waking up and will not comply as none of your decisions are law, let alone ethical. We the people are watching you. Don't forget you all would not have a job if it weren't for us.

Sincerely a concerned parent,

Sarah Geiger

From: Stephen Schumacher To:

DOH WSBOH
My Public Comments RE VACCINE MANDATES Subject:

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 12:04:14 PM

External Email

No go on vaccine mandates and passports during emergency use authorization period!

From: Annette Huenke
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 11:44:34 AM

External Email

There is no place in a democratic society for coercive medical interventions that have potential to harm. In the idea of vaccine passports, what is being implemented is a political and legal climate in which experimental genetic therapies on human populations are normalized and inescapable. Armed with vaccine passports, governments and their corporate allies would be able to establish the foundations of a global surveillance state, with the power to monitor every social interaction.

Vaccine passports are the gateway to the most radical slavery the world has ever seen. The 'pandemic' is being used to coerce vaccination, and vaccinations are needed to impose the passport. Anyone who supposes the vaccine passport *could* lead to discrimination fails to grasp that this is the whole purpose of this document. The entire point is to divide society, to rule it. These tactics make a mockery of the principle of informed consent.

Please reject all government and private sector vaccine passports in Washington state.

Sincerely, Annette Huenke Port Townsend
 From:
 Luba Meltzer

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Friday, April 9, 2021 11:55:01 AM

External Email

I do not support vaccine passports, none of my family supports vaccine passports and none of my friends support them. That's about 1500 people. The only time government should be involved in healthcare decisions is in the matter of prosecuting fraud - including prosecuting scientific research fraud concerning vaccines.

The Covid vaccine is experimental and more than 50% of the populace is against them and that makes vaccine passports pure coercion. They are a violation of medical privacy, health rights, as well as a violation of basic human dignity. Say NO to the medical police state. Ban vaccine passports.

Best, Luba

From: scripturethis
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:53:32 PM

External Email

I am not in favor or of this proposed passport. All citizens should be allowed to follow the spirits leading in their life in all things. Free will is the best part of a free people. We are strongest when we all play our part, live by our moral and ethical codes and walk out our life in faith hope and love. We are more afraid of losing any rights than anything this virus can do to us. The long term effects to our children and their children's children and their way of life will be way more effected in a negative way by more government control then by commen sence. Our 4,000 years after the flood on this earth have proven that no matter how great the death rate or disease we face, we will survive. I and the many others that I represent want life liberty and the pursuit of happiness. Their is no end to the control to our people this passport brings. Please turn this proposed heath control bill down.

Christopher L. Kuntz G.G.

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

From: michael
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:02:07 PM

External Email

Vaccine passports are an outrageous government intrusion into our private lives. An invasion into my right to travel freely in my homeland. It is the face Totalitarianism, no underlying public good can be worth this level of loss of freedom.

From: Peter & Ris
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 10:00:34 PM

External Email

Pls use whatever authority you have to ban or block any 'vaccine passport' creation or requirement. Such a thing would be incredibly divisive and will ensure conflict between citizens, businesses and law enforcement.

Thank You, Peter AvelarFrom: Marie Kubo
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 9:09:01 PM

External Email

Please give people the dignity of making a choice as to whether or not they wish to receive the vaccine. The dangers that these vaccines pose, have not been provided to the public. I strongly oppose the measure to mandate passports verification of having been vaccinated. It is my sincere hope, that the freedom of CHOICE will remain a part of our inalienable rights.

Sincere,

Marie Kubo

From: Don Post
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:55:59 PM

External Email

Please ban, block and do not support Vaccine passports in Washington State.

Donald Post 361 McMillan Road Chimacum, WA 98325

 From:
 Kelci Bright

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:35:36 PM

External Email

To Whom it May Concern,

As a resident of Washington State, I feel that it is of incredible importance to express the concern I have regarding Vaccine Passports. Even though the Federal Government has announced that they will not be mandating these passports, we know that vaccine law is a manner of state. As we see other states developing vaccine passport apps and cards and others banning them, I believe that now is the time to take a firm, preemptive stance against this outrageously Un-American idea.

Not only are we seeing COVID-19 positive cases in fully vaccinated individuals around the country & believe that fully vaccinated individuals may still transmit illness to others, vaccine passports will create different classes of citizens and create more problems with social inequality in this country than we already have. Please do not allow Vaccine Passports to have a place in the beautiful state of Washington.

Thank you.

Kelci Michaelson

 From:
 Lena Mcginnis

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:34:04 PM

External Email

Dear Board Members,

I am writing regarding the possibility of a "Vaccine Passport" being required in the state of Washington. I am deeply concerned that this is being considered throughout the country, and now in our state, for several reasons:

- 1) the COVID-19 vaccine is still experimental with many long-term unknowns, and enough concerning effects in enough people to date
- 2) the use of such passports being a violation of a person's Health Information Privacy
- 3) the potential for hacking
- 4) the potential for the "passports" growing into requiring more things
- 5) the ostracizing of people in the community who cannot or do not wish to have the vaccine

At best, and I use that loosely, it should be left up to individual companies and they can refuse service to those without "passports" if they chose. This should not be something businesses are forced to participate in, nor should they be penalized if they chose not to.

Thank you for considering my points.

Best Regards,

Lena McGinnis

From: David and Jacqui
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:18:13 PM

External Email

Dear Committee Members,

I would like to make some comments about the Covid Vaccine Passport. I believe that everyone has a right to medical freedom. Noone should be forced or coerced into putting anything into their bodies or having anything done to their bodies. Noone should be punished for choosing one way or the other in regards to vaccines. I urge you to use your authority to ban or block any vaccine passort requirement. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Signed, David Payne From: David and Jacqui
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:17:52 PM

External Email

Dear Committee Members,

I would like to make some comments about the Covid Vaccine Passport. I believe that everyone has a right to medical freedom. Noone should be forced or coerced into putting anything into their bodies or having anything done to their bodies. Noone should be punished for choosing one way or the other in regards to vaccines. I urge you to use your authority to ban or block any vaccine passort requirement. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Signed, Jacqueline Payne From: Lana Simmons
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 8:05:11 PM

External Email

Gov. Inslee. No Vax Passports!!!!!

From: Lauren Gonzalez
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:40:12 PM

External Email

I am a resident of the State of Washington.

And I have 2 young children who are not vaccinated due to medical reasons.

I have chosen not to vaccinate myself nor my husband and just because it is my human right to decide what goes inside of my body, that does not mean I should be given less amount of rights to go to the zoo with my kids, go to the store or to the mall. These are basic human freedoms.

What I DO instead is ensure my kids eat well, hardly ever have sugar, take good amounts of Vitamin D and C, spend a good amount of time outside and stay active and generally happy.

I RARELY hear anyone pushing to be healthy and take care of your body, but rather we are told what to put in our body. This is wrong and again not just unconstitutional, but inhumane.

Rather than serving 40grams of sugar in a drink at the local Kid's Gym, why don't they instead push water and electrolytes? Instead they are just pushing sugar, yet requiring masks.

It is backwards thinking and the result of a so-called "honorable goal" to protect our health.

My kids are extremely healthy and I ensure if they ever get colds, they stay home so as not to infect others. If we all are being responsible for ourselves and our children, then vaccine passports are unnecessary and against all of our human constitutional rights.

Florida's Governor DeSantis is standing up to protect the rights of Americans and his state's citizens. And so have the governors in Texas,

Utah, Idaho. Governors in Mississippi, Iowa, Nebraska, Georgia, and Tennessee have indicated they will not allow vaccine passports in their states.

Please follow their lead and ban all government and private sector vaccine passports in Washington State.

Thank you,

Lauren

 From:
 Rebecca Oshiro

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 7:06:56 PM

External Email

Vaccine passports are unethical and coercive; they have no place in a democratic society. All healthcare decisions should be made by an individual in partnership with his or her physician. When a medical procedure carries the risk of death or disability, no matter how small, the decision whether or not to partake of this procedure should be up to the sole discretion of the individual. That COVID vaccines use a brand new technology with no medium or long term studies and are not formally approved medications makes the banning of vaccine passports in any capacity of the utmost urgency.

Respectfully, Rebecca Oshiro

Sent from ProtonMail for iOS

From: Karen Morgan
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:50:48 PM

External Email

Dear BOH:

Please know that I am against a vaccine passport. This is a violation of medical privacy and opens the door for other such medical passports. This can be highly discriminatory for those who are unable to be vaccinated.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Karen Morgan, 360 303 9142

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Angela Weilert
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:37:08 PM

External Email

No vaccine passports for WA state

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

 From:
 Tylene Kuenstler

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:19:16 PM

External Email

Hi,

My comment for the meeting:

Please ban vaccine passports. This infringes on people's medical freedom and violates one's private medical records. There are so many who cannot receive the vaccine due to medical or religious reasons. It is unconstitutional to discriminate against your fellow American citizens.

Thank you, Tylene Kuenstler

Sent from my iPhone

 From:
 Nicole Brook

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 6:08:23 PM

External Email

This letter is addressed to all local government officials, attending the meeting scheduled on April 14th, to discuss vaccine passports in the state of Washington.

BOH and Governor Inslee,

On behalf of myself, my family, city, state, and country..... we the people decline vaccine passports, as they are unconstitutional, and defile every human right and Natural Law. No citizen of any Nation should have to plead with our governments, for basic human rights of bodily autonomy. No citizen of any nation should be coerced, manipulated, or forced, to inject any foreign substance into our bodies, with unknown side effects including death.

Our bodies are not property of of the state. Our genetic code is not subject to your experimentation. You do not have the right to infringe on our human rights or freedoms given to us by God and protected Natural Law. The fact that I even need to write these words is inconceivable.

For you to consider requiring a vaccine that was rushed, not approved by the FDA, and has not been proven safe or effective in preventing Coronavirus, is the epitome corruption. There can be no requirement for any medical procedure or intervention, where there's the potential for injury or death to the recipient.

The world is waking up to the collusion between big pharmaceutical companies and government. We are privy to the misinformation and corruption that's contaminated our governments, education systems, media outlets and medical industries. We are aware of the collusion between giant corporations, elites and our governments. No amount of lies, misinformation, propaganda, censorship or force, can stop the TRUTH from being exposed. We know we've been lied to, and we will have justice restored in the United States of America and around the World.

With God as my witness, we the People will rise against your tyranny and take all measures necessary to restore freedom and justice back to humanity. Your reign of greed and corruption is coming to a swift and final end. We are many and you are few. Your evil has no chance against the forces of light that's gathering to rid the world of your pestilence. So help me God, we will send your army of darkness back to hell.

Sincerely, Nicole Brook From: Lori Hagadorn

To: DOH WSBOH

Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 5:24:47 PM

External Email

No mandatory covid shots aka covid vaccines. No emergency prepared vaccine should ever be mandatory or require any type of proof. This violates so many rights of individuals. No vaccine passports! No more nonsense. The two week lockdown is over 52 weeks too long. Stop!!! Let people make their own choices for medical procedures and devices. No. Just say No! Lori Hagadorn

 From:
 Elizabeth Jung

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 4:57:03 PM

External Email

To whom it concerns,

My family and community are vehemently opposed to vaccine passports or any kind of medical/financial passports/social credit system. It violates the very heart and soul of what it means to be an American or to have liberty, freedom and bodily autonomy. Freedom of choice in all things is paramount. Medical freedom and informed consent are non negotiables. Informed consent demands there be no coercion of any kind involved in the discussion or decision making. Our Constitution, as well as International Law, demands medical passports be rejected in all arenas for a vast number of reasons. Leading the way is the Nuremberg Code which was put in place by the international community to ensure that the horrors of WW2 Germany are NEVER again repeated in history. This is an experimental medical treatment that is not FDA approved. Our government at both federal and state level is well aware of this fact, so it is astounding that these conversations are even taking place. Under an EUA (emergency use approval), medical treatments cannot under any circumstances be mandated. They must be fully voluntary. Fully voluntary means without coercion. This debate shouldn't be occurring on that basis that it violates human rights, however, it also shouldn't be occurring due to the fact that none of these vaccines are even up for FDA approval for 1-2 more years. They are also now fully lacking a placebo group, as the placebo groups were given the vaccine as well -which makes FDA approval by any established process very unlikely. "My body, my choice" has been the rallying cry for our state for decades. Where is that dedication to keeping the government or private entities out of the conversation between doctor and patient now?

No medical treatment is one size fits all as each individual has a different body that comes with individual health issues and concerns. No medical treatment is without risks and possibility of adverse reactions in the short term or the long term. Therefore, neither government or private entities have the right to dictate persons must take a medical treatment. Dictation is both outright mandate as well as coercion. Segregating the American population by medical condition and what treatments an individual and their doctor has or has not determined to be safe and necessary for their individual case is outright discrimination that violates every know human right we have. Moreover, medical information is private, protected by HIPAA and no one besides a person's doctor has the right to that information. Imagine if we asked people whether or not they were HIV positive before allowing them to enter public spaces!

Lastly, there is no liability being held by the companies producing the vaccine or the government entities coercing the public to take what is NOT a FDA approved drug. The government made that agreement with the Pharmaceutical companies which further erodes the public trust in both the medical treatment, the companies producing it and the government itself. If private companies start entering the sphere of mandating passports that the government knows it cannot by law mandate, it is in effect working as an arm of the government. The American public is not fooled by this slight of hand. We are fully aware that the private sphere and big business is working hand in hand with the government behind the scenes to do their dirty work for them. As our elected officials, your one and only duty is to uphold the Constitution of the United States, preserve our American Freedoms and Liberties, and ensure every single Washingtonian's individual rights to make their own decisions for themselves and their families is protected. Do your job. Sign legislation banning any form of medical passports in either the public or private sphere and ensure that informed consent and medical freedom is fully protected under Washington laws.

Thank you, The Thomas Family From:

M T DOH WSBOH To: Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 4:42:37 PM

External Email

Please ban Vaccine Passports. They are unnecessary, unlawful, and a breach of confidentiality. We are supposed to be a free nation, don't send us back to the dark ages. We are better than that.

M Stewart Vancouver, WA

Sent from my iPhone

From: Julles M

To: DOH WSBOH

Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 4:38:34 PM

External Email

What part of 'UNCONSTITUTIONAL' do you all not understand???? No vaccine passports. It would be like Nazi Germany. Don't go there. Also states that have lifted restrictions are doing better than we are. Get it???

 From:
 Lynde Thomas

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 4:34:08 PM

External Email

The idea of a vaccine passport is horrendous and completely un-American! The Covid vaccine is still in clinical trials and has NOT been FDA approved. The Covid vaccine is a brand new technology and will take years before we know anything about long term efficacy and safety concerns.

Mandates in general do not belong in a free society. Especially mandates that pertain to our own personal medical decisions.

Government has zero business meddling in this topic other than giving us information so we can make our own choices.

I vote a hard NO to a vaccine passport.

Lynde Thomas Bonney Lake resident

Sent from my iPhone

 From:
 maddie johnson

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 4:32:04 PM

External Email

Any passport vaccine bill that if passed would violate everyone's civil and human rights. To make vaccination decisions without coercion or societal restrictions is the American way. Any other would be unconstitutional and would make me move to another state.

 From:
 mayra mendez

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 4:22:58 PM

External Email

Please no passpost for Washington residents, im allergic to vaccines ingredients and that could potentially kill me, i got a very bad reaction to the flu vaccine. My father also died from a reaction to the flu vaccine, please don't make it mandatory, not every one can get them. It would be very selfish to do that to everyone. Thanks

From: Réa Kironn

To: DOH WSBOH

Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 4:19:28 PM

External Email

Ban Vaccine-pass. We are going to see so many more people sick and ying from the so-called vaccine which is not a vaccine but a genetic experiment. You don't know what you are doing. Get the true information. You are discriminating against people and creating class warfare. STOP.

.



Réa B. Kironn, D. Min., SC-C, SMC-C, PT-C Sp. Spiritual Counselor Trauma-Sensitive HeartMath Certified Practitioner

phone: 360-738-6060

email: reakironn@gmail.com website: reakironn@gmail.com
 From:
 Wendy Nuxoll

 To:
 DOH WSBOH

 Subject:
 My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 4:18:28 PM

External Email

I am writing to ask the WA State Board of Health to take action to ban government & private sector vaccine passports.

Federal and state laws and regulations do not allow coercion to be used to compel unwanted medical interventions nor do they allow violation of bodily integrity to be the price of freedom.

President Biden is attempting to go around the laws by working with the private sector to get businesses to require passports. This is unethical and unamerican.

Washington State must ban vaccine passports.

Wendy Nuxoll

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Rachel Schafer
To: DOH WSBOH
Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 4:13:01 PM

External Email

Please DO NOT Discriminate against the ones who have health problems!!!

I have struggled with chronic illness for years and I'm unable to use any chemicals or put them in my body. I have repeatedly ended up in the ER after a reaction with zero help and sent home. There are more like me out there, including one of my children, who choose to not get a vaccine for health concerns and/or simply CANNOT risk the side effects. We should not be kept from normal human societal community functions because we choose not to get a vaccine. They have not even been FDA approved. This is insanity if you allow this to happen to our state. I am a native and this is my home.

Please continue to stand for TRUE FREEDOM and HEALTH!

Thank you, Rachel Schafer

Sent from my iPhone

From:

Alex DOH WSBOH To: My Public Comments Subject:

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 4:09:36 PM

External Email

Please follow US Constitution and ban all government and private sector vaccine passports in Washington State.

Regards,

WA resident and USA citizen.

From: <u>Corrine Waller</u>

To: <u>DOH WSBOH</u>; <u>contact@informedchoicewa.org</u>; <u>Jay.Inslee@gov.wa.gov</u>

Subject: My Public Comments

Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 11:08:43 PM

External Email

To Whom It may Concern,

My Dear Leadership,

Please consider how this appears. Not long ago a people were made to wear a number on their arm to be identified; either made to suffer a beating, starved or gassed and before that, a people were made to wear letters burned into their skin.

This isn't a tattoo or a scar but it is intrusive and in no way an American ideal. It is against the Constitution of The United States and it intrudes on MY Freedoms as a citizen, a Tax Paying Citizen.

Your job is to Protect and uphold the Constitution of The United States, Not Russa, India or CHINA....You work for me!

I say to you, STOP this, by using whatever authority you have to ban or block any vaccine passport establishment or requirement -- and/or support any such ban or block.

You are obligated to me, a tax paying citizen of the United States of America, because I pay you to do the work in our capitol so I can live freely under the banner of the American Flag, the Preamble of the Constitution and the Constitution of The United States of America.

I refuse to give you any more of my freedoms. I have been held in lock down, mask up, and spaced out and away from other humans that it is unnatural....I am in no way a threat to anyone....Herd immunity works only if we are breathing the same air....a shot of a substance that is still in clinical trials and is unknown and is only going to kill those who have taken it....

You are ordered to stop the advancement of a marxist form of government in our Republic. NO to Vac Passports, NO to VACCINES that are not valid, NO to Masks that are only limiting oxygen, NO to lock downs and isolation and NO to Trace and Track.....

Please NOTICE: The Biden administration is working with the private sector to implement "vaccine passports." Why the private sector and not Congress? Because the President knows the federal and state constitutions and many federal and state laws and regulations do not allow coercion to be used to compel unwanted medical interventions nor do they allow violation of bodily integrity to be the price of freedom.

But it is just as unlawful for private companies to require vaccine identification as it is for the government.

YOU KNOW Florida's Governor DeSantis is standing up to protect the rights of Americans and his state's citizens. And so have the governors in Texas, Utah, Idaho. Governors in Mississippi, Iowa, Nebraska, Georgia, and Tennessee have indicated they will not allow vaccine passports in their states.

DO AS A CITIZENS SAYS; Please follow his lead and ban all government and private sector vaccine passports in Washington State.

--

Member of the U.S.A.~Citizen of Washington State ~Corrine Waller

DOH WSBOH

From: Maureen Mcwalter <maureenmcw@icloud.com>

Sent: Tuesday, March 16, 2021 11:50 AM

To: coderev.wa@leg.wa.gov; ofm.administration@ofm.wa.gov; Director (DFW); DOH WSBOH;

hoemann.tom@leg.wa.gov

Subject: NO on 5371

External Email

Dear members of SLC, OFM, DFW, SBOH, and SENATE,

Washington voters have made their opinion very clear on beverage taxes. In 2010, 60% of Washington State voters rejected the legislature's statewide excise tax on sugar-sweetened beverages at the ballot. In 2018, 56% of Washington State's voters reaffirmed their desire to keep groceries, including beverages, safe from taxes at the local level by prohibiting taxes on the food and beverages in our grocery cart.

As our citizens struggle to make ends meet, as many more face increased food insecurity, and rising costs of basic necessities like groceries, we urge you to oppose SB 5371 – the sugar-sweetened beverage tax. It is unnecessary and quite frankly, incredibly ill-timed as workers and families in this state face so many economic unknowns – in particular, whether they will be able to pay their rent or afford their groceries.

The sugar-sweetened beverage tax isn't just a tax on soda. It is a tax on things like iced teas, juice drinks, coffee drinks, lemonades and flavored waters. These are everyday items for so many of our customers – and to add yet another tax onto these products is difficult to understand.

These taxes will hit those who can least afford it – those who are out of work, who are struggling to pay their bills and worried about what the future holds for them and their families. As Washington State looks to its economic recovery – something we all hope begins soon – we cannot burden our citizens with regressive and onerous taxes like the sugar-sweetened beverage tax.

Please vote NO on SB 5371.

Sincerely, [Your name]

Sent from my iPhone

DOH WSBOH

From: Bill & Michele Haugen
 bmhaugen@comcast.net>

Sent: Thursday, April 8, 2021 9:32 AM

To: DOH WSBOH

Subject: Possible step back to Phase 2 in Pierce County

External Email

Hello Board Members,

I am writing you not only because I have seen the news that the Governor's office via WADOH is considering sending Pierce County back into Phase 2 of Covid restrictions. I strongly oppose this, as should you! I have spent all morning looking at all the data I could find from Pierce County-data on case counts, deaths, and hospitalizations. The data from Pierce County, as well as the state appears to only go back to March 31. I cannot see any possible reason why we should be restricted any more than we are now. According to the data available, there have been ZERO new deaths in our county. We also remain below the 90% hospitalization threshold. The most current data shows only 7.25 ICU patients per 100,000 people in our county, and these include ALL ICU patients, not just Covid. Can you tell me how many are because of Covid (not just in ICU for something else, like cancer, and happen to test positive for Covid)? I know we have had several very serious car accidents in our county in the past weeks, which could account for the very slight uptick in ICU admissions, yes? I understand that there has been an increase in cases, but if they aren't resulting in deaths and hospitalizations, then why does it really matter. Not to mention, how is that even possible with more masks than ever and vaccines in the arms of over 60% of the most vulnerable Washingtonians (according to the Covid dashboard)? I saw on the news that the state is trying to say that there is an increase in cases in younger people, possibly from sports and in person school. My son goes to Glacier View JH in Puyallup and there have been only 2 cases. Where are these other outbreaks among our youth in our county?

If you are really going to allow our county to take a step backwards, I need some more information; Where are the new cases coming from? What demographics are they coming from? If the data available to me is incorrect, what is the correct data? As the leaders of our county and members of the DOH board, I'm sure you have access to this data and can make that available.

My family has owned a business on South Hill for more than 20 years. We have not only given back to our community in more ways than I can count, both through our business and personally, but we

have paid more taxes than the average citizen in my area. We have scraped and pivoted and begged and sacrificed for more than a year now, even though we are the healthy, tax paying ones. But the real kicker, the reason I was up at 5am doing more research and writing more emails, planning my days to add more hours for more phone calls is because my 15 year old son cried, hard tears, for the first time over all of this last night. When he heard that we are going back to Phase 2, he knew that means basketball, his passion, will not happen. He has been tough all year! No tears, no giving up, doing his work, trying to be understanding. He couldn't take it anymore! I EXPECT you to stop this madness and to do what is right for the majority of the tax paying voters in your county!

I appreciate your service and your time.

Sincerely, Michele (and Bill) Haugen, 253-273-1152 12524 136th St. E., Puyallup, WA 98374 H&S Printworks, 253-251-0045 9918 162nd St. Ct. E., #13, Puyallup, WA 98375